Hepatitis B virus and human immunodeficiency virus drugs in pregnancy: Findings from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry

Robert S. Brown Jr.1,**, Elizabeth C. Verna1, Marcus R. Pereira2, Hugh H. Tilson3, Christopher Aguilar4, Cheng-Shiun Leu5, Maria But6, Elizabeth A. Fagan7

1Center for Liver Disease and Transplantation, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032, United States; 2Division of Infectious Diseases, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032, United States; 3UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States; 4Drug Safety and Public Health Gilead Sciences Inc., 322 Lakeside Drive, Foster City, CA 94404, United States; 5Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, United States; 6Liver Unit, Hospital Universitario Valle Hebron and Ciber-ehd del Instituto Carlos III, Paseo Valle Hebron 119, Barcelona 08035, Spain; 7Gilead Sciences Inc., 300 Lakeside Drive, Foster City, CA 94404, United States

Keywords: Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry; Hepatitis B; Birth defects.

Abstract

Background & Aims: Fetal safety of antiviral therapies is important given the long-term treatment of women with chronic hepatitis B (CHB) infection who may become pregnant. We analyzed neonatal safety data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR), the largest safety database in pregnancy for antivirals used for HIV and CHB.

Methods: Data were extracted from APR cases prospectively enrolled between 1989 and 2011. Primary outcomes were major birth defects rates with exposure to all antivirals, individual classes, and drugs compared to population-based controls. Relevant to CHB, only lamivudine (LAM) and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) had sufficient individual data for review (>200 cases).

Results: Of 13,711 cases analyzed, the overall birth defect prevalence (2.8%, 95% CI 2.6–3.1%) was comparable to Centers for Disease Control population-based data (2.72%, 2.68–2.76%, p = 0.87) and two prospective antiretroviral exposed newborn cohorts (2.8%, 2.5–3.2%, p = 0.90 and 1.5%, 1.1–2.0%, p < 0.001). The birth defects prevalence between first and second/third trimesters exposure was similar (3.0% vs. 2.7%). No increased risk of major birth defects with LAM or TDF exposure compared to population-based controls was observed. No specific pattern of major birth defects was observed for individual antivirals or overall.

Conclusions: No increased risk of major birth defects including in non-live births was observed for pregnant women exposed to antivirals relevant to CHB treatment overall or to LAM or TDF compared to population-based controls. Continued safety and efficacy reporting on antivirals in pregnancy are essential to inform patients on their risks and benefits during pregnancy.

© 2012 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Chronic hepatitis B (CHB) remains an important global health problem. Up to one million of the approximately 350 million carriers worldwide die annually due to CHB-related disease [1]. Mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) is the most common form of transmission in high prevalence areas [2,3] and may occur in up to 90% of mothers who are hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positive without prophylaxis [4]. This high rate of transmission may be partially due to the high proportion of patients with active replication, hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) positivity [5–8], and high maternal viral load during reproductive years [9–12].

Although no anti-CHB therapies are currently approved for use in pregnancy, women in their child-bearing years with CHB liver disease may need antiviral therapy, including during pregnancy, or be actively taking antivirals when they become pregnant. Moreover, pregnant women in the immune tolerant phase of CHB with high HBV DNA levels (>10^6 copies/ml; 2 × 10^7 IU/ml) may want to be considered for antiviral therapy to reduce the viremia and the risk of MTCT that can occur despite neonatal immunoprophylaxis [9,13]. The use of antiviral therapies in pregnancy is controversial and knowledge of their risks is not widely disseminated among hepatologists. Accordingly, data on the safety of antivirals in pregnancy, and especially their impact on potential teratogenic risk, are of paramount importance when counseling pregnant patients with CHB on risks and benefits to their offspring.

Antiviral therapies for CHB and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infections have advanced markedly in the last decade and the benefits of treatment are clear. CHB patients experience low (<1%/year) rates of viral resistance and breakthrough with up to 5 years of antiviral monotherapy with entecavir or...
tenofovir [14,15], and long-term virus suppression is associated with slowing of liver disease progression and reversal of fibrosis and cirrhosis [16–18]. For HIV, the use of combination antiretroviral therapy with a backbone of two nucleos(t)ide analogues plus at least one drug from another class, also reliably produces durable suppression of HIV viremia [19,20], restores immune function [21], reduces HIV-[22,23] and non-HIV-[24–26] related mortality and morbidity, and prevents transmission [27,28]. In HIV infection, the use of antivirals to suppress HIV RNA levels, particularly during late pregnancy, has also dramatically reduced the rate of MTCT [29,30].

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR) is an international, voluntary registry that monitors prenatal exposures to antiviral drugs to detect a possible increased risk of major birth defects in a prospective exposure-registration cohort [31]. Although the primary focus of the Registry has been on women with HIV infection, data collection on CHB monoinfected patients began in 2003, and data on birth outcome are now also available for these women.

The aim of this study is to review the APR safety data for antivirals approved for the treatment of CHB, where sufficient numbers of reported exposures during pregnancy permit conclusions to be drawn concerning risk of major birth defects, i.e., lamivudine (LAM) and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF). The objective is to compare major birth defect rates to population-based controls for all antivirals and then for these two antiviral agents and classes of antivirals. In addition, we compared major birth defect rates and other birth outcomes (e.g., spontaneous, and induced, abortions and stillbirths) following antiviral exposure commencing in the first versus (vs.) remaining trimesters of pregnancy. Though these data exist in summary formats elsewhere, this is the first attempt to quantify and tabulate these risks as they pertain to HBV drugs in a manner useful to practicing hepatologists.

Materials and methods

The APR

The APR is an international, voluntary prospective exposure-registration cohort study established in January 1989 that monitors major birth defects and adverse fetal outcomes (e.g., spontaneous abortions, induced abortions, stillbirths) in pregnancies exposed to antiviral medications to treat maternal HIV and/or CHB infections. Data collection on exposure in CHB monoinfected mothers commenced in January 2003. Maternal safety and efficacy data are not addressed in this cohort. More details about the design of this cohort can be found in the 2011 interim report [31].

The APR begins collecting data on individual drugs after FDA approval. Thus, data on exposure to LAM began in 1989 and to TDF in 2001. We restricted our individual drug analyses to LAM and TDF because only limited data are available for exposure to other CHB drugs including entecavir, telbivudine, and adefovir dipivoxil.

Reporting to the APR is restricted to health care providers and is on a voluntary basis. Data are not verified. To limit reporting bias, pregnancy must be prospectively registered with the APR prior to delivery and then pregnancy outcome must be known and reported to the APR post-delivery. An independent advisory committee of members from the CDC, US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and National Institutes of Health provide oversight of APR scientific conduct and analyses.

Approximately 1500 new cases (1300 from the US) are added annually to the APR. Interim primary analysis reports are issued twice each year and are publically available on the website (www.APRegistry.com). Data for the current study cover the reporting period from January 1, 1989 through January 31, 2011. Patients excluded from this analysis are those lost to follow-up and those with a pending outcome.

Study design and outcomes

The primary aim of this study is to describe the prevalence of major birth defects per 100 live births in women with HIV alone, HIV/CHB, or CHB alone who are exposed to antiviral therapy. Birth defects in non-live births categorized in the APR as spontaneous abortion, induced abortion, stillbirth and live birth [31] with first trimester exposure to nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) regimens and all antiviral regimens over the same time period are also reviewed.

APR birth defect rates are calculated for the overall cohort, each antiviral drug class (nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI), NRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI) and protease inhibitors (PI)), and individually for LAM and TDF since these two antiviral agents have sufficient data for analysis and are relevant to treatment of CHB as well as HIV infection. Birth defect rates are also compared between those with earliest exposure beginning in the first trimester and those with only second/third trimester exposure.

We compared the prevalence of birth defects in the APR to that observed in the Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (MACDP), a population-based birth defects surveillance system administered by the CDC, as per standard practice [31,32]. The MACDP actively searches for birth defects among all pregnancy outcomes in five counties of the metropolitan Atlanta area with approximately 50,000 annual births in a population of about 2.9 million. The MACDP prevalence of birth defects used for comparison identified births in the years that most closely mirrored the years APR has been in operation (1989–2003). Additional comparison groups used include two large multicenter cohorts of infants with prenatal antiviral exposure: (1) the European Collaborative Study [33], a prospective cohort of HIV-infected pregnant women at 26 centers in nine European countries and (2) the National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood in the United Kingdom and Ireland [34], a population-based surveillance study of HIV positive women and their children.

The APR defines a birth defect case as a fetus or infant with at least one of major structural or chromosomal defect diagnosed by 6 years of age or any cluster of two or more conditional abnormalities. In addition, any structural or chromosomal defect detected in the prenatal evaluation of the pregnancy of an aborted fetus or deceased infant is evaluated and is considered a case under the above conditions, unless the prenatal diagnosis is actively ruled out postnatally. The Registry’s definition of a birth defect case is modified from the MACDP system, having been designed to be more sensitive than the case definition by the CDC. A defect classification system, derived from the common ICD-9-CM-based surveillance coding system (the British Pediatric Association or “BPA coding”) is used by the APR.

Statistical analyses and power

Compared to CDC’s expected prevalence, with 80% power and a type 1 error rate of 5%, a cohort of 200 newborns exposed to antiviral drugs in the first trimester is sufficient to detect a 2.2-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. Thus, only antivirals with at least 200 cases of exposure are included in the formal analysis. A cohort of 1000 newborns exposed to antiviral drugs in the first trimester is sufficient to detect a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects.

Descriptive statistics were generated for maternal demographics. Prevalence rates for birth defects in live births by trimester of earliest exposure to TDF- and LAM-containing regimens and all ARV regimens were calculated and reported as point estimates with 95% confidence intervals. The rate of birth defects in non-live births with first trimester exposure to NRTI regimens and all ARV regimens was also calculated. All data analyses were performed with SAS version 9.1 (Cary, NC, USA).

Results

This APR interim report analysis examined 13,711 cases, prospectively enrolled between January 1, 1989 and January 31, 2011. Patients excluded from this analysis included 1364 (8.7%) cases lost to follow-up and 535 (3.4%) cases pending outcome (Table 1). Patients with HIV infection comprised 93.9% (including 147 or 1% of patients with HIV–HBV co-infection). HBV monoinfection 1.2%, HIV post-exposure prophylaxis 0.3%, unknown 1.3% and missing 3.2%. Since the vast majority of the cohort was composed of HIV-infected pregnant women, the only 2 drugs approved for CHB with sufficient exposure data (>200 enrolled cases) in the
APR were TDF and LAM. Numbers of pregnancy exposures were insufficient to draw conclusions on exposure risk for the other approved anti-CHB therapies such as adefovir dipivoxil (n = 42), entecavir (n = 27), and telbivudine (n = 15). We did not analyze data for the fixed dose combination tenofovir/emtricitabine as it is not FDA approved for CHB. Maternal demographics for the final study group are summarized in Table 2.

The overall birth defect prevalence per 100 live births for all antivirals was 2.8% (95% CI 2.6–3.1%) (Table 3). This prevalence rate is statistically similar to that reported in the general population described in the MACDP from 1989 to 2003 (2.72%, 95% CI 2.68–2.76, \(p = 0.87\)). Compared to two other large prospective cohort studies of newborns with prenatal exposure to antivirals, this birth defect rate is statistically similar to that of the United Kingdom and Ireland study [34] (2.8% (232/8242), 95% CI 2.5–3.2%, \(p < 0.001\)) and somewhat higher than the European Collaborative Study [33] (1.5% (39/2645), 95% CI 1.1–2.0%, \(p < 0.001\)).

The prevalence of major birth defects in pregnancies with first trimester exposure to antivirals (3.0%, 95% CI 2.5–3.4%; Table 3) is similar to that with first exposure during the second and third trimesters (2.7%, 95% CI 2.4–3.1%). The relative risk of birth defects for first trimester exposures compared to second and third trimesters is 1.08, 95% CI 0.88–1.32. No specific patterns of major birth defects were observed for any individual antiviral or overall.

When the smaller group of CHB monoinfected patients was analyzed (n = 161), no significant differences in rate or pattern of birth defects were seen when compared to the overall cohort (data not shown).

The birth defect prevalence with first trimester exposure to the NRTI class (including LAM) and nucleotide NtRTI class (including TDF) is similar to that for all antiviral regimens (3.0% and 2.3% vs. 3.0% respectively, Table 3). The birth defect prevalence with exposure specifically to LAM- and TDF-containing regimens is similar to that for all antiviral regimens; earliest exposure commencing in the first trimester (LAM 3.1%, TDF 2.4%, all ARV regimens 3.0%) and earliest exposure commencing in the second or third trimester (LAM 2.7%, TDF 2.0%, all antiviral regimens 2.8%, Table 3). The prevalence of birth defects in non-live births with first trimester exposure to NtRTI regimens and all antiviral regimens also shows no obvious imbalance, Table 4.

For TDF as well as most HIV-1-specific medications, sufficient numbers of first trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a twofold increase in risk of overall birth defects. No such increases have been detected to date. For LAM and zidovudine, sufficient first trimester exposures (n > 1000) have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a 2-fold increase in risk of birth defects in the more common classes, cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increases with LAM have been detected to date.

### Discussion

This is the first report using Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR) data to describe the rates of major birth defects in newborns of women with in utero exposure to antiviral medications approved for use in CHB. Our analysis evaluates CHB and HIV antivirals overall, and then specifically LAM and TDF and their...
The fact that reflects variations in definitions of cases and birth defects, case ascertainment, and rates of prenatal diagnoses[33].

Table 3. Number of birth defects* in live births by trimester of earliest exposure to LAMg- and TDFh-containing regimens and all antiviral regimens in APR: January 1, 1989–January 31, 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Trimester of earliest exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of defects/ live births</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>All antiviralsb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRTIg regimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NNRTIg regimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIh regimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAMg regimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDFh regimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACDPi</td>
<td>All antivirals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European collaborative study [33]</td>
<td>All antivirals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK and Ireland [34]</td>
<td>All antivirals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Defects meeting the CDC criteria only. Excludes reported defects in abortions <20 weeks of gestation.

The MACDP includes infants in a general population who may or may not have been exposed to antivirals in utero.
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pregnancy can expand potential candidates for treatment among women of child-bearing age.

The purpose of this study is not to advocate off-label use of antiviral therapies in pregnancy. Instead, we wish to draw attention to the utility of the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry when counseling pregnant women and those of child-bearing potential with CHB on the fetal safety of antiviral therapies in pregnancy.

To our knowledge, the APR represents the largest publicly available safety database of its kind with over 13,000 evaluable pregnancy outcomes to date.

The limitations of the APR include its reliance on voluntary reporting with possible underreporting or differential reporting of cases as well as lack of verification of cases or birth defects in non-cases. In addition, most birth defect cases are identified shortly after birth with limited attempts at longer-term follow-up. For example, bone development and fracture risk are a concern due to the potential effects of TDF on bone loss. On this topic, the data are reassuring in that none of the defects reported reflect a problem with bone formation or unexpected fractures. However, “under-reporting” is likely and thus ascertainment of these complications is expected to be limited, since neonatal X-ray is not the standard, so a subtle non-clinical fracture would be missed. However, the Registry also receives and evaluates all retrospective (spontaneous) case reports as well (for signal generation), and has received no signal indicating issues with bone development.

The overall impact of these biases could be non-directional or confound the true rate. However, all cases need to be identified shortly after birth with limited attempts at longer-term follow-up. For example, bone development and fracture risk are a concern due to the potential effects of TDF on bone loss. On this topic, the data are reassuring in that none of the defects reported reflect a problem with bone formation or unexpected fractures. However, “under-reporting” is likely and thus ascertainment of these complications is expected to be limited, since neonatal X-ray is not the standard, so a subtle non-clinical fracture would be missed. However, the Registry also receives and evaluates all retrospective (spontaneous) case reports as well (for signal generation), and has received no signal indicating issues with bone development. This is in keeping with additional data in children born to women taking TDF [39].

The overall impact of these biases could be non-directional or confound the true rate. However, all cases need to be identified shortly after birth with limited attempts at longer-term follow-up. For example, bone development and fracture risk are a concern due to the potential effects of TDF on bone loss. On this topic, the data are reassuring in that none of the defects reported reflect a problem with bone formation or unexpected fractures. However, “under-reporting” is likely and thus ascertainment of these complications is expected to be limited, since neonatal X-ray is not the standard, so a subtle non-clinical fracture would be missed. However, the Registry also receives and evaluates all retrospective (spontaneous) case reports as well (for signal generation), and has received no signal indicating issues with bone development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth defects/ non-live birth</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Birth defects/ non-live birth</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Birth defects/ non-live birth</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous loss</td>
<td>0/287 0</td>
<td>0/109 0</td>
<td>0/306 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth</td>
<td>3/88 3.4</td>
<td>0/32 0</td>
<td>3/97 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induced abortions</td>
<td>7/353 2.0</td>
<td>3/98 1.3</td>
<td>7/395 1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>10/728 1.4</td>
<td>3/239 1.3</td>
<td>10/798 1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Number of birth defects in non-live births with first trimester exposure to NRTI and NtRTI regimens and all antiviral regimens: January 1, 1989–January 31, 2011.

*Defined as a stillborn infant, or a spontaneous or induced abortion >20 weeks of gestation.

bNRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor.

cNRTI, nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor.
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Addendum

Advisory committee consensus statement

In reviewing all reported defects from the prospective registry, informed by clinical studies and retrospective reports of antiretroviral exposure, the Registry finds no apparent increases in frequency of specific defects with first trimester exposures and no pattern to suggest a common cause. The Registry notes modest but statistically significant elevations of overall defect rates with didanosine and nelfinavir compared with its population-based comparator, the MACDP. While the Registry population exposed and monitored to date is not sufficient to detect an increase in the risk of relatively rare defects, these findings should provide some assurance when counseling patients. However, potential limitations of registries such as this should be recognized. The Registry is ongoing. Health care providers are encouraged to report eligible patients to the Registry at www.APRegistry.com.
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