Accepted Manuscript

Antiviral activity of TMC435 monotherapy in patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 to 6: TMC435-C202, a phase IIa, open-label study

Christophe Moreno, Thomas Berg, Tawesak Tanwandee, Satawat Thongsawat, Hans Van Vlierberghe, Stefan Zeuzem, Oliver Lenz, Monika Peeters, Vanitha Sekar, Goedele De Smedt

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0168-8278(12)00116-X 10.1016/j.jhep.2011.12.033 JHEPAT 4128
To appear in:	Journal of Hepatology
Received Date:	19 September 2011 23 November 2011
Accepted Date:	23 December 2011



Please cite this article as: Moreno, C., Berg, T., Tanwandee, T., Thongsawat, S., Van Vlierberghe, H., Zeuzem, S., Lenz, O., Peeters, M., Sekar, V., De Smedt, G., Antiviral activity of TMC435 monotherapy in patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 to 6: TMC435-C202, a phase IIa, open-label study, *Journal of Hepatology* (2012), doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2011.12.033

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1

(112/130 characters)

Christophe Moreno^{1,*}, Thomas Berg², Tawesak Tanwandee³, Satawat Thongsawat⁴,

Hans Van Vlierberghe⁵, Stefan Zeuzem⁶, Oliver Lenz⁷, Monika Peeters⁷, Vanitha

Sekar⁸, Goedele De Smedt⁷

¹Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatopancreatology, Hôpital Erasme, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

²Department of Hepatology, Clinic of Gastroenterology and Rheumatology, University Clinic Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

³Department of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand ⁴Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

⁵Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent,

Belgium

⁶Department of Medicine I, J.W. Goethe University Hospital, Frankfurt, Germany

⁷Tibotec, Beerse, Belgium ⁸Tibotec Inc., Titusville, NJ, USA

*Corresponding author:

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatopancreatology, Hôpital Erasme,

Université Libre de Bruxelles, 808 Route de Lennik, 1070 Brussels, Belgium

Tel: +3225553712

Fax: +3225554697

E-mail: Christophe.Moreno@erasme.ulb.ac.be

Word count: 5182/5000

Number of figures/tables: 3 figures/5 tables

Abbreviations:

HCV, hepatitis C virus; PegIFN, peginterferon; RBV, weight-based ribavirin; SVR, sustained virologic response; AE, adverse event; DAA, direct-acting antiviral; *q.d.*, once daily; RVR, rapid virologic response; IC, inhibitory concentration; ECG, electrocardiogram; t_{max} , time to reach the maximum plasma concentration; C_{max} , maximum plasma concentration; C_{min} , minimum plasma concentration; C_{0h} , pre-dose plasma concentration; AUC_{24h}, area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time of administration up to 24 hours post-dosing; SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

Financial support:

This study was funded by Tibotec. Medical writing support was provided by Dr Bethan Lowder on behalf of Complete Medical Communications and funded by Tibotec.

Conflict of interest:

C. Moreno was paid for speaking at symposia by Bristol-Myers Squibb and Schering-Plough; is an investigator for Boehringer, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, Novartis, Roche and Schering-Plough; received a research grant from Roche and Schering-Plough; is an adviser for Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen and Schering-Plough; and is a consultant for Janssen and Schering-Plough.

T. Berg is a member of advisory boards and/or speaker for Abbott, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer, Gilead, Janssen/Tibotec, Merck, Novartis, Roche/Genentech and Vertex.

T. Tanwandee is an investigator for Janssen/Tibotec, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis and Roche.

S. Zeuzem is a consultant for Abbott, Achillion, Anadys, Bristol-Myers Squibb,Boehringer, Gilead, iTherX, Janssen/Tibotec, Merck, Novartis, Pharmasset, Pfizer,Roche/Genentech, Santaris and Vertex.

O. Lenz, M. Peeters, V. Sekar and G. De Smedt are employed by Tibotec.

4

1 Abstract (250/250 words)

2 Background & Aims

- 3 TMC435 is an investigational, once-daily, oral NS3/4A protease inhibitor currently in
- 4 phase III development for the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. Phase I
- 5 and II studies in patients infected with HCV genotype 1 have demonstrated that
- 6 TMC435 is generally well tolerated, has a pharmacokinetic profile that supports once
- 7 daily dosing, and demonstrates potent antiviral activity. This phase IIa study
- 8 (TMC435-C202; NCT00812331) was conducted to investigate the antiviral activity,
- 9 safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of TMC435 in treatment-naïve patients
- 10 infected with HCV genotypes 2 to 6.

11 Methods

- 12 The study consisted of 7 days of monotherapy with TMC435 (200 mg once daily).
- 13 Patients could begin treatment with pegylated interferon/ribavirin from Day 8 with a
- 14 follow-up period up to Days 37–42.

15 Results

- Thirty-seven patients were enrolled in Germany, Belgium and Thailand. For the
 primary endpoint at Day 8, the mean (±standard error) change in plasma HCV
 ribonucleic acid (log₁₀ IU/mL) from baseline was greatest for genotypes 6 (-
- 19 4.35 ± 0.29 and 4 (-3.52±0.43), followed by genotypes 2 (-2.73±0.71) and 5 (-
- 20 2.19±0.39). No antiviral activity was evident for genotype 3. Viral breakthrough
- 21 occurred in six patients during the monotherapy phase and in six additional patients

- 1 during PegIFN/RBV-only period. All adverse events were mild or moderate and there
- 2 were no discontinuations during the TMC435 monotherapy period.

3 Conclusions

- 4 The results of this phase IIa proof-of-concept trial provide evidence that TMC435 has
- 5 a spectrum of activity against multiple HCV genotypes, except for genotype 3. In this
- 6 study, TMC435 was generally safe and well tolerated.

- 7
- 8 Keywords: HCV, TMC435, genotype, antiviral, monotherapy

1 **1. Introduction**

2 The hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a single-stranded RNA virus and one of the leading 3 causes of chronic liver disease worldwide [1]. It is estimated that 130-170 million people are infected with HCV, constituting 2.2-3.0% of the global population [2]. 4 5 HCV can be classified into six major genotypes based on sequence divergence of 30% 6 [3]. Genotype 1 has a broad global distribution [4–10]. Genotype 2 is prevalent in 7 North America, Europe and Japan (subtypes 2a and 2b), Northern Italy (2c) [11], and 8 Western Africa [12]. Genotype 3 is noted for its wide distribution among intravenous 9 drug users in a number of countries [13–15], and is also predominant in India and 10 Pakistan [16]. Genotype 4 is responsible for >90% of HCV infections in Egypt, where 11 it is associated with the re-use of needles during mass administration of parenteral 12 antischistosomal therapy until the 1980s, and is also prevalent in other regions of the 13 Middle East and sub-Saharan Africa [3,17–19]. In Europe, its prevalence has recently 14 increased due to immigration and transmission between intravenous drug users [17]. 15 Genotype 5 is found most commonly in South Africa, as well as in four regions in 16 France, Spain, Syria and Belgium [3,17]. Genotype 6 is found in South East Asia and 17 surrounding regions where overall HCV prevalence is high [3,20,21].

Recommended treatment for patients infected with non-genotype 1 HCV is pegylated interferon and ribavirin (PegIFN/RBV). Treatment for different genotypes differs slightly, with PegIFN alpha (α) plus weight-based RBV for 48 weeks recommended for genotypes 1, 4 and 6, and PegIFNα plus low-dose RBV (800 mg) for 24 weeks for genotypes 2 and 3 [22–27]. Of note, given the recent approval of the HCV NS3/4A protease inhibitors boceprevir and telaprevir [28,29] the standard of care for genotype 1 is expected to change [27,30].

1 Sustained virologic response (SVR, undetectable HCV RNA in patient plasma 24 2 weeks after treatment end) is achieved in approximately 75% of patients infected with 3 genotypes 2 and 3 [31]. Rates with genotypes 4, 5 or 6 are 43–70% [17]. Furthermore, 4 PegIFN/RBV therapy is poorly tolerated in some patients. In randomised trials of 5 PegIFN α /RBV, influenza-like and neuropsychiatric symptoms occurred in up to 24– 6 64% of patients [22,32], adverse events (AEs) led to study discontinuation in 14–32% 7 and dose reduction in 11-42% [22,32], and anemia or neutropenia led to dose reduction in 9-22% and 18-20%, respectively [22,32]. 8

9 It is, therefore, clear that novel direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) are required to address 10 issues of sub-optimal efficacy, poor tolerability and compliance failures, and to reduce 11 treatment duration. Boceprevir and telaprevir have demonstrated significantly 12 improved virologic outcomes in both treatment-naïve and -experienced genotype 1 13 patients [28,29]. However, their thrice daily dosing schedule (with food) and 14 increased rates of AEs including anemia and rash, in comparison to PegIFN/RBV, 15 suggest that there is still room for improvement. Furthermore, activity in other 16 genotypes has not been extensively investigated.

TMC435 is an investigational, once-daily oral NS3/4A protease inhibitor currently in
phase III clinical development for the treatment of HCV infection. Phase I and II trials
in patients infected with HCV genotype 1 have demonstrated that TMC435 is
generally well tolerated, has a pharmacokinetic profile that supports once daily (*q.d.*)
dosing, and demonstrates potent antiviral activity and efficacy [33–36].

Given sub-optimal responses to existing treatment options and the worldwide distribution of genotype 1, this genotype is the current focus of the TMC435 clinical development program. A phase IIa study (TMC435-C202; NCT00812331) was also

1 performed in patients infected with genotypes 2 to 6 to assess the antiviral activity of 2 TMC435 against these genotypes. Data from biochemical protease assays available 3 before the study start indicated that TMC435 is a potent NS3/4A protease inhibitor in 4 genotypes 2, 4, 5 and 6, with a medium inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of <13 nM for all HCV NS3/4A enzymes tested [37]. IC₅₀ for genotype 3 was 37 nM [37]. This 5 6 study assessed antiviral activity, safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of TMC435 7 (200 mg q.d. administered for 7 days as monotherapy) in treatment-naïve patients MAN 8 infected with HCV genotypes 2 to 6.

9

1 **2. Patients and methods**

2 2.1 Patient population

3 The study was conducted in treatment-naïve patients infected with HCV 4 genotypes 2 to 6. HCV genotype was determined using Trugene, Versant LIPAv2 5 and/or NS5B sequence-based assays. Patients were male or female, aged 18-70 years 6 old, with documented chronic genotype 2 to 6 HCV infection, with or without 7 cirrhosis (up to Child Pugh A liver disease), and an HCV RNA level of 8 ≥100,000 IU/mL at screening. Staging of fibrosis/cirrhosis was performed according 9 to nationally accepted procedures including Metavir score, fibroscan and fibrotest. 10 Exclusion criteria included prior treatment (including investigational treatment) for 11 HCV infection; evidence of decompensated liver disease defined as a prior or current 12 history of ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, oesophageal or gastric varices; drug- or 13 alcohol-related cirrhosis; co-infection with hepatitis A or B, HIV-1 or HIV-2; or 14 active tuberculosis at screening.

15 2.2 Study design

16 The open-label proof-of-concept study was performed by 12 investigators in three 17 countries (Belgium, Germany and Thailand). The target number of patients to be 18 included in the trial was eight patients of each HCV genotype. Patients were 19 categorised by genotype into five cohorts, and TMC435 (200 mg q.d.) was 20 administered to each patient for 7 days as monotherapy (Fig. 1). Patients could begin 21 treatment with PegIFN/RBV from Day 8 onwards, as decided by the patient and their 22 treating physician. There was a follow-up period up to Day 42 (35 days after the last 23 TMC435 administration) which included two specific time points for assessment:

1	follow-up 1 (Day 21) and follow-up 2 (Days 37-42). Patients participating in the
2	study were not hospitalised, either for enrolment or for therapy.
3	A 200 mg dose was selected as this was the highest dose previously administered to
4	patients infected with HCV genotype 1 in the TMC435-C201 trial [35], had
5	previously exhibited a good safety and tolerability profile, and also maximised the
6	potential for antiviral activity across all genotypes.
7	2.3 Antiviral activity
8	Serum samples were obtained at baseline, pre-TMC435 dose Days 1-11, follow-up 1
9	and follow-up 2. HCV RNA levels were quantified using a COBAS Taqman HCV v2
10	assay (linear range from 25 to 391,000,000 IU/mL with a limit of quantification of 25
11	IU/mL).
12	The primary endpoint was change from baseline in HCV RNA at Day 8. Secondary
13	efficacy endpoints included change from baseline in HCV RNA at other time points
14	during the monotherapy period, the proportion of patients with HCV RNAbelow the
15	lower limit of quantification (<25 IU/mL) but with traces of HCV RNA detectable at
16	all time points, the proportion of patients with HCV RNA <25 IU/mL undetectable at
17	all time points, and the proportion of patients experiencing viral breakthrough
18	(defined as $>1 \log_{10}$ IU/mL increase in HCV RNA level from nadir, or >100 IU/mL in
19	those with a prior HCV RNA level of <25 IU/mL undetectable).
20	·
21	Viral breakthrough was defined as an increase >1 log_{10} IU/mL in plasma HCV RNA

22 concentration from the lowest reached, or HCV RNA >100 IU/mL in patients whose

23 HCV RNA was previously <25 IU/mL undetectable or detectable.

1 2.4 Safety and tolerability

AEs, defined as any untoward medical occurrence in a patient participating in the study that does not necessarily have a causal relationship with the treatment, were recorded throughout the study. All AEs were followed until values returned to baseline or stabilisation occurred. Vital signs, electrocardiogram (ECG) recordings and clinical laboratory tests were performed up to 2 hours pre-dose on Days 1, 7, 8 and at follow-up 2. In the German study centre, additional ECG assessments were performed 6 hours post-dose on Days 1 and 7 (protocol amendment).

9 2.5 Pharmacokinetics

10 Blood samples were taken up to 96 hours post-dose following seven days of TMC435 11 dosing to determine TMC435 steady-state plasma pharmacokinetics. Pharmacokinetic 12 analysis was performed using non-compartmental methods using the WinNonlin 13 ProfessionalTM (Version 4.1; Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA). 14 Calculated parameters included time to reach the maximum plasma concentration 15 (t_{max}) , maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}), minimum plasma concentration (C_{min}), 16 pre-dose plasma concentration (C_{0h}) and area under the plasma concentration-time 17 curve from time of administration up to 24 hours post-dosing (AUC_{24h}).

18 **2.6** Statistical analysis

Demographic, antiviral activity, virology, and safety and tolerability data were summarised using descriptive statistics and frequency tabulation. Previous trials indicate that residual error on change from baseline in plasma HCV RNA is unlikely to be >1. Assuming a residual error of 1 and a 2-sided significance level of 5%, a comparison of eight patients receiving TMC435 treatment per genotype cohort had

- 1 90% power to detect a difference of 1.8 log₁₀. Increased power was obtained when
- 2 change in HCV RNA per genotype cohort was compared with baseline. A total of
- Acceleration 3 eight patients was sufficient to detect a difference with baseline of $1.3 \log_{10}$.

1 **3. Results**

2 3.1 Patient demographics and baseline characteristics

3 The trial was conducted from 3 March to 18 November 2009. A total of 37 patients 4 were enrolled (Fig. 1) across Germany, Belgium and Thailand. No major differences 5 in demographics and baseline disease characteristics were observed, except that all 6 patients with genotype 6 were Asian, and median age of patients with genotype 5 was 7 higher compared with other genotype cohorts (Supplementary Table 1). Overall, 11% 8 of patients in the study had cirrhosis (Metavir score F4), including patients infected 9 with genotype 2 (n=1), genotype 3 (n=1) and genotype 5 (n=2). Multiple subtypes were included in cohorts for genotype 2 (2b, 2c, 2i, 2k), genotype 4 (4, 4c, 4d) and 10 genotype 6 (6a, 6c-l, 6j, 6n) (Table 1). 11

Following the 7-day TMC435 treatment period, all patients started PegIFN/RBV
therapy. Thirty-one patients began PegIFN/RBV on Day 8 or 9, whereas one patient
with genotype 3 and five with genotype 6 began PegIFN/RBV after Day 9.

15 3.2 Antiviral activity

16 *3.2.1 Change in plasma HCV RNA from baseline*

17 An initial rapid decline in HCV RNA from baseline at Day 3 of TMC435 18 monotherapy was evident for all patients infected with HCV genotypes 4 to 6, and for 19 three out of six patients with genotype 2 (Figs 2 and 3). Of these three patients, those 20 who responded were infected with subtypes 2b and 2c.

1 At Day 3, the mean (±standard error [SE]) change from baseline in plasma HCV RNA 2 $(\log_{10} \text{ IU/mL})$ was greatest for genotypes 6 (-3.57±0.197) and 4 (-3.43±0.167), 3 followed by genotypes 5 (2.71 ± 0.335) and 2 (-2.02 ± 0.625) . For the primary endpoint 4 at Day 8, the mean (±SE) change from baseline was greatest for genotypes 6 (-5 4.35 ± 0.29) and 4 (-3.52 ±0.43) cohorts, followed by genotypes 2 (-2.73 ±0.71) and 5 (-6 2.19 ± 0.39) (Figs 1 and 2). However, no clear antiviral activity was evident for 7 patients with genotype 3 (change from baseline at day 3 and 8; Figs 2 and 3). At Day 8 8, four patients (two patients with genotype 4 and two with genotype 6) achieved 9 HCV RNA levels of <25 IU/mL detectable. No patients achieved HCV RNA levels of 10 <25 IU/mL undetectable at Day 8.

11 From Day 8 to the end of follow-up 2 (Days 37-42), when patients had been treated 12 with PegIFN/RBV only for up to 35 days, mean HCV RNA declined in all genotypes, 13 with the exception of genotype 4 where mean HCV RNA began to increase (Fig. 2). 14 By the end of follow-up 2, HCV RNA change from baseline was -5.19±0.37 for 15 genotype 2, -4.96±0.37 for genotype 3, -3.26±0.77 for genotype 4, -3.89±0.60 for 16 genotype 5 and -5.46±0.32 for genotype 6. HCV RNA was <25 IU/mL detectable for 17 5/6 (83%), 6/8 (75%), 5/8 (63%), 2/7 (29%) and 7/8 (88%) of patients with genotypes 18 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively. HCV RNA <25 IU/mL undetectable was achieved by 19 5/6 (83%), 3/8 (38%), 5/8 (63%), 1/7 (14%) and 6/8 (75%) of patients with genotypes 20 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively.

21 3.2.2 Viral breakthrough

One patient infected with genotype 3, two with genotype 4 and three with genotype 5
experienced viral breakthrough during the TMC435 monotherapy period. In addition,

another 6 patients experienced viral breakthrough during the follow-up period, whilst
being treated with PegIFN/RBV only, suggesting lack of activity of PegIFN/RBV
treatment in these patients: two infected with genotype 2, one with genotype 3, one
with genotype 4, and two with genotype 6.
In genotype 2 and 3-infected patients with viral breakthrough, viral sequencing did
not reveal emerging mutations. However, for most genotype 4, 5, and 6 patients with
viral breakthrough, emerging mutations were detected. The most frequently observed

8 emerging mutations in the NS3 protease domain were R155K, D168E and D168V

9 (data not shown).

10 3.3 Safety and tolerability

The type and incidence of AEs (all Grade 1-2) during the 7-day TMC435 11 12 monotherapy period was similar across all cohorts in the study (Table 2) and the most 13 common AEs were influenza-like illness and headache. There were no clinically 14 relevant changes in laboratory parameters, and no clinically significant findings in 15 terms of vital signs, physical examinations or ECG recordings. Mild elevations in 16 bilirubin (total, direct and indirect) levels were observed in all cohorts. Mean change 17 from baseline to Day 8 was 1.38 µmol/L (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.88, 1.87) for 18 direct and 3.06 µmol/L (95% CI 1.51, 4.61) for indirect bilirubin. These returned to 19 baseline value after completion of TMC435 dosing and were not associated with 20 aminotransferase. clinical symptoms elevations in aspartate alanine or 21 aminotransferase or alkaline phosphatase (Supplementary Table 2).

On Day 8 (after the 7-day dosing period with TMC435 was completed), one patient
experienced an SAE of Grade 1 ileitis not considered related to TMC435 therapy. The

patient discontinued from the study and recovered after 4 days. No other
 discontinuations due to AEs occurred during the trial.

3 3.4 Pharmacokinetics

- 4 Steady-state TMC435 C_{0h} , C_{min} , C_{max} and AUC_{24h} were similar for the genotype 4, 5
- 5 and 6 cohorts, though lower values were observed for the genotype 2 and 3 cohorts
- 6 with the lowest values in the genotype 3 cohort (Supplementary Table 3). T_{max} values
- 7 were generally similar for all genotype cohorts (Supplementary Table 3). Exposure

MA

8 did not differ according to race or cirrhosis (data not shown).

1 **4. Discussion**

2 The results of this phase IIa proof-of-concept trial provide evidence that TMC435 has

3 a broad spectrum of activity against multiple HCV genotypes, with the exception of

4 genotype 3.

5 Monotherapy with oral TMC435 200 mg q.d. for 7 days was associated with potent 6 antiviral activity in patients infected with genotypes 2, 4, 5 and 6. The greatest 7 antiviral activity was observed among patients infected with genotypes 4 and 6, 8 followed by genotypes 2 and 5. Of note, potent activity was observed in three patients 9 with genotype 2, with limited activity observed in the other three patients in this 10 cohort. No antiviral activity was seen against genotype 3. Viral breakthrough 11 (protocol defined: plasma HCV RNA increase >1 \log_{10} IU/mL from the lowest 12 reached, or >100 IU/mL in patients whose HCV RNA was previously <25 IU/mL 13 undetectable or detectable) occurred in six patients during the monotherapy phase. Six 14 additional patients had viral breakthrough during the PegIFN/RBV-only period, and 15 could therefore be considered viral rebound after cessation of treatment with 16 TMC435. In this study, TMC435 was generally safe and well tolerated. All AEs were 17 mild to moderate and during the 7-day period of TMC435 monotherapy there were no 18 discontinuations or untoward changes in biochemical parameters.

This is the first study in which an HCV protease inhibitor has demonstrated antiviral activity in genotypes 5 and 6. Furthermore, data for genotypes 2, 3 and 4 are limited for other investigational agents. In a phase IIa study, telaprevir combined with PegIFN/RBV showed substantial activity against genotype 2, modest activity against genotype 4 [38] and limited activity against genotype 3 [39]. Of note, unlike

nucleotide inhibitors, NS3 protease inhibitors are generally considered to have limited
activity in certain genotypes. However, results of this study suggest that the protease
inhibitor TMC435 could be efficacious across multiple genotypes, though additional
clinical data are required to provide further support.

5 A limitation of this study relates to the high subtype diversity in genotypes 2, 4 and 6 6 (such diversity is not observed in genotypes 3 and 5). Not all subtypes were included 7 in this study and the number of patients per subtype was sometimes limited. 8 Importantly, no difference in efficacy between included subtypes was observed in 9 genotypes 4 or 6. The difference in antiviral activity between patients infected with 10 genotype 2 may be caused by the different subtypes, as HCV RNA change from 11 baseline at Day 3 in patients infected with 2b and 2c was -3.19 to -3.61- log₁₀ IU/mL, 12 compared with -0.26 to -0.99 in those infected with 2, 2k and 2i. In addition to this 13 limitation, the sample size in each cohort was relatively small. It should also be noted 14 that a TMC435 dose of 200 mg q.d. was administered in this trial, whereas a dose of 15 150 mg is currently in phase III development.

16 The lack of antiviral activity against genotype 3, compared with other genotypes, is 17 consistent with the lower IC₅₀ value of TMC435 against a genotype 3 isolate in an *in* 18 vitro biochemical assay [37]. It is suggested that this may be due to the presence of a 19 naturally occurring D168Q polymorphism at baseline, which is present in most 20 genotype 3a isolates known to date and was observed in all genotype 3a patients 21 included in this study (data not shown). A D168Q mutation alone has been shown to 22 reduce TMC435 activity in a genotype 1b replicon assay by >700 fold [40]. TMC435 23 exposure (as indicated by C_{0h}, C_{min}, C_{max} and AUC_{24h}) was lower in genotypes 2 and 3 24 than in genotypes 4, 5 and 6, though it is suggested that this may be due to chance due

to the small number of patients in this study. Furthermore, as mean AUC values were
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>
<a>

5 3.

In patients infected with HCV genotype 4, mean change from baseline in HCV RNA
began to increase after Day 5. Prior to Day 8, this was driven by two patients who
experienced viral breakthrough under TMC435 monotherapy. The further increase in
HCV RNA after Day 8 is thought to reflect a lack of response to PegIFN/RBV.

10 Novel agents for the treatment of genotypes 4 to 6 would be advantageous as SVR 11 rates are low [17,31], and together with genotype 1 these groups are considered 12 'difficult to treat'. Antiviral activity against genotypes 4 to 6 observed in this study 13 suggests that TMC435 could provide a clinical benefit, particularly for patients 14 infected with genotypes 4 and 6. For genotype 5, the mean decline in HCV RNA from 15 baseline over the 7 day monotherapy period was slightly lower compared to 16 genotypes 4 and 6, suggesting that the TMC435 activity was somewhat lower in this 17 group. Due to SVR rates of \geq 70% in genotype 2 and 3 patients following treatment 18 with PegIFN/RBV, there is perhaps a less urgent need for novel agents to treat 19 infection with these genotypes, though patients who do not respond to treatment could 20 benefit from regimens including novel DAAs. TMC435 showed antiviral activity in 21 3/6 patients infected with genotype 2, and no activity against genotype 3.

Of note, given the high sequence variability between the different genotypes and subtypes, further work is ongoing to investigate the role of naturally occurring

- 1 baseline polymorphism in variation in virologic response, and to fully characterise
- 2 viral variants observed in patients with viral breakthrough.
- 3 In spite of study limitations outlined above, the results of this phase IIa study in 37
- 4 treatment-naïve patients suggest that this investigational agent may be a future
- 5 candidate for treatment of infection with HCV genotypes 4, 5 and 6, and potentially
- 6 particular subtypes of genotype 2.

Acknowledgements 1

- 2 This study was funded by Tibotec. Medical writing support was provided by
- 3 Dr Bethan Lowder on behalf of Complete Medical Communications and funded by
- 4 Tibotec. The authors would like to thank Maria Beumont-Mauviel, Richard

uvi

1 References

- 2 [1] Ghany MG, Strader DB, Thomas DL, Seeff LB. Diagnosis, management, and
- 3 *treatment of hepatitis C: an update. Hepatology 2009;49:1335–1374.*
- 4 [2] Lavanchy D. The global burden of hepatitis C. Liver Int 2009;29 (Suppl 1):74-81.
- 5 [3] Simmonds P, Bukh J, Combet C, Deleage G, Enomoto N, Feinstone S, et al.
- 6 Consensus proposals for a unified system of nomenclature of hepatitis C virus
- 7 genotypes. Hepatology 2005;42:962–973.
- 8 [4] Cristina J. Genetic diversity and evolution of hepatitis C virus in the Latin
- 9 American region. J Clin Virol 2005;34 (Suppl 2):S1–S7.
- 10 [5] Alter MJ, Kruszon-Moran D, Nainan OV, McQuillan GM, Gao F, Moyer LA, et
- 11 al. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1988 through
- 12 1994. N Engl J Med 1999;341:556–562.
- 13 [6] Djebbi A, Triki H, Bahri O, Cheikh I, Sadraoui A, Ben AA, et al. Genotypes of
- 14 hepatitis C virus circulating in Tunisia. Epidemiol Infect 2003;130:501–505.
- [7] Viazov S, Kuzin S, Paladi N, Tchernovetsky M, Isaeva E, Mazhul L, et al.
 Hepatitis C virus genotypes in different regions of the former Soviet Union
- 17 (Russia, Belarus, Moldova, and Uzbekistan). J Med Virol 1997;53:36–40.
- 18 [8] Yu ML, Chuang WL. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C in Asia: when East meets
- 19 West. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;24:336–345.

1	[9] McOmish F, Yap PL, Dow BC, Follett EA, Seed C, Keller AJ, et al. Geographical
2	distribution of hepatitis C virus genotypes in blood donors: an international
3	collaborative survey. J Clin Microbiol 1994;32:884–892.
4	[10] Ramia S, Eid-Fares J. Distribution of hepatitis C virus genotypes in the
5	Middle East. Int J Infect Dis 2006;10:272–277.
6	[11] Zein NN. Clinical significance of hepatitis C virus genotypes. Clin Microbiol
7	<i>Rev</i> 2000;13:223–235.
8	[12] Candotti D, Temple J, Sarkodie F, Allain JP. Frequent recovery and broad
9	genotype 2 diversity characterize hepatitis C virus infection in Ghana, West
10	Africa. J Virol 2003;77:7914–7923.
11	[13] Freeman AJ, Zekry A, Whybin LR, Harvey CE, van Beek IA, de Kantzow SL, et
12	al. Hepatitis C prevalence among Australian injecting drug users in the 1970s and
13	profiles of virus genotypes in the 1970s and 1990s. Med J Aust 2000;172:588–
14	591.
15	[14] Woodfield DG, Harness M, Rix-Trott K, Tsuda F, Okamoto H, Mayumi M.

- 16 Identification and genotyping of hepatitis C virus in injectable and oral drug users
 17 in New Zealand. Aust N Z J Med 1994;24:47–50.
- [15] Pawlotsky JM, Tsakiris L, Roudot-Thoraval F, Pellet C, Stuyver L, Duval J, et
 al. Relationship between hepatitis C virus genotypes and sources of infection in
 patients with chronic hepatitis C. J Infect Dis 1995;171:1607–1610.

[16]	Sievert W, Altraif I, Razavi HA, Abdo A, Ahmed EA, Alomair A, et al. A
sys	stematic review of hepatitis C virus epidemiology in Asia, Australia and Egypt.
Li	ver Int 2011;31 Suppl 2:61–80.
[17]	Antaki N, Craxi A, Kamal S, Moucari R, Van der Merwe S, Haffar S, et al. The
ne	glected hepatitis C virus genotypes 4, 5 and 6: an international consensus
rej	port. Liver Int 2010;30:342–355.
[18]	Nguyen MH, Keeffe EB. Prevalence and treatment of hepatitis C virus
ge	notypes 4, 5, and 6. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005;3:S97–S101.
[19]	Frank C, Mohamed MK, Strickland GT, Lavanchy D, Arthur RR, Magder LS,
et	al. The role of parenteral antischistosomal therapy in the spread of hepatitis C
vir	rus in Egypt. Lancet 2000;355:887–891.
[20]	Chao DT, Abe K, Nguyen MH. Systematic review: epidemiology of hepatitis C
ge	notype 6 and its management. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2011;Epub ahead of
pr	int May 29:
[21]	Pybus OG, Barnes E, Taggart R, Lemey P, Markov PV, Rasachak B, et al.
Ge	enetic history of hepatitis C virus in East Asia. J Virol 2009;83:1071–1082.
[22]	Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Rustgi VK, Shiffman M, Reindollar
<i>R</i> ,	et al. Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b
plı	as ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial.
La	ncet 2001;358:958–965.
	[16] sy: Liv [17] ne rep [18] ge [19] et vin [20] ge pr [21] Ge [22] R, plu La

1	[23] Jacobson IM, Brown RS, Jr., Freilich B, Afdhal N, Kwo PY, Santoro J, et al.	
2	Peginterferon alfa-2b and weight-based or flat-dose ribavirin in chronic hepatitis	
3	C patients: a randomized trial. Hepatology 2007;46:971–981.	
4	[24] Hadziyannis SJ, Sette H, Jr., Morgan TR, Balan V, Diago M, Marcellin P, et	
5	al. Peginterferon-alpha2a and ribavirin combination therapy in chronic hepatitis	
6	C: a randomized study of treatment duration and ribavirin dose. Ann Intern Med	
7	2004;140:346–355.	
8	[25] Khuroo MS, Khuroo MS, Dahab ST. Meta-analysis: a randomized trial of	
9	peginterferon plus ribavirin for the initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C	
10	genotype 4. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2004;20:931–938.	
11	[26] Nguyen MH, Trinh HN, Garcia R, Nguyen G, Lam KD, Keeffe EB. Higher rate	
12	of sustained virologic response in chronic hepatitis C genotype 6 treated with 48	
13	weeks versus 24 weeks of peginterferon plus ribavirin. Am J Gastroenterol	
14	2008;103:1131–1135.	
15	[27] European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice	
16	Guidelines: Management of hepatitis C	
17	virus infection. J Hepatology 2011; 55:245-64.	
)	
18	[28] US Food and Drug Administration. Approval of Incivek (telaprevir), a direct	
19	acting antiviral drug (DAA) to treat hepatitis C (HCV). Available from:	
20	http://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ByAudience/ForPatientAdvocates/ucm256328.	
21	htm. Accessed 3 June 2011.	

1	[29]	US Food and Drug Administration. Approval of Victrelis (boceprevir) a direct
2	ac	ting antiviral drug (DAA) to treat hepatitis C virus (HCV). Available from:
3	ht	tp://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ByAudience/ForPatientAdvocates/ucm255413.
4	ht	m. Accessed 3 June 2011.
5	[30]	Hofmann WP, Zeuzem S. A new standard of care for the treatment of chronic
6	H	CV infection. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;8:257–264.
7	[31]	Chayama K, Hayes CN. Hepatitis C virus: How genetic variability affects
8	ра	thobiology of disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;26 (Suppl 1):83–95.
9	[32]	Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, Smith C, Marinos G, Goncales FL, Jr., et
10	al	. Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. N
11	Er	ngl J Med 2002;347:975–982.
12	[33]	Fried MW, Buti M, Dore GJ, Ferenci P, Jacobson I, Marcellin P, et al.
13	Eţ	ficacy and safety of TMC435 in combination with PegInterferon $lpha$ -2a and
14	ril	bavirin in treatment-naïve genotype-1 HCV patients: 24-week interim results
15	fre	om the PILLAR study. Hepatology 2010;52:403A,abs LB-5.
16	[34]	Lin TI, Lenz O, Fanning G, Verbinnen T, Delouvroy F, Scholliers A, et al. In
17	vii	tro activity and preclinical profile of TMC435350, a potent hepatitis C virus
18	pr	otease inhibitor. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:1377–1385.
19	[35]	Manns M, Reesink H, Berg T, Dusheiko G, Flisiak R, Marcellin P, et al. Rapid
20	vi	ral response of once-daily TMC435 plus peginterferon/ribavirin in hepatitis C
21	ge	notype-1 patients: a randomized trial. Antivir Ther 2011;16:1021–1033.

Zeuzem S, Foster GR, Fried MW, Hezode C, Hirschfield G, Nikitin I, et al. The

1

[36]

2	ASPIRE trial: TMC435 in treatment-experienced patients with genotype-1 HCV
3	infection who have failed previous PEG/RBV treatment (abstract In: Annual
4	Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver, Vienna, Austria,
5	14-18 April, 2010.
6	[37] Lin TI, Devogelaere B, Lenz O, et al. Inhibitory activity of TMC435350 on
7	HCV NS3/4A proteases from genotypes 1 to 6. Poster 1912 presented at the 59th
8	American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases meeting, San Francisco, CA,
9	USA, 31 October - 4 November, 2008.
10	[38] Benhamou Y, Moussalli J, Ratziu V, et al. Results of a proof of concept study
11	(C210) of telaprevir monotherapy and in combination with peginterferon alfa-2A
12	and ribavirin in treatment-naive genotype 4 HCV patients. Poster presented at the
13	44th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver,
14	Copenhagen, Denmark, 22 April, 2009.
15	[39] Foster GR, Hezode C, Bronowicki G, et al. Activity of telaprevir alone or in
16	combination with peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin in treatment-naive genotype
17	2 and 3 hepatitis-C patients: final results of Study C209. Poster presented at the
18	145th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver,
19	Vienna, Austria, 14-18 April, 2010.
20	[40] Lenz O, Vijgen L, Moreno C, et al. Virologic response and characterization of
21	HCV genotypes 2 to 6 under TMC435 monotherapy (study TMC435-C202). Poster

- 22 16 presented at the International Workshop on HIV and Hepatitis Virus Drug
- 23 *Resistance and Curative Strategies, Los Cabos, Mexico, 7-11 June, 2011.*

Tables

 Table 1. HCV subtype defined using NS5B sequence-based assay or Versant

 LIPAv2.*

HCV subtype,	Genotype	Genotype	Genotype	Genotype	Genotype	
n (%)	2	3	4	5	6	
	(N=6)	(N= 8)	(N=8)	(N=7)	(N= 8)	
2	1 (16.7)					
2b	2 (33.3)			2		
2c	1 (16.7)		P			
2i	1 (16.7)					
2k	1 (16.7)		Ŷ			
3a		8 (100)				
4	$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}}$	×	1 (12.5)*			
4a			4 (50)			
4c			2 (25)			
4d			2 (25)			
5a				7 (100)		
ба					1 (12.5)	
6b					1 (12.5)	
6c-1					3 (37.5)*	

-	6j	1 (12.5)
	6 n	2 (25.0)
-	*NS5B assay failed in four patients. LIPAv2 ass	ay determined genotypes were genotype 4 (one
	patient) and genotype 6c-1 (three patients)	
P		

Table 2

Adverse events occurring in more than two patients, during the TMC435

treatment period, by genotype cohort

Preferred	Genotype	Genotype	Genotype	Genotype	Genotype	Overall
term, <i>n</i> (%)	2	3	4	5	6	
	(N=6)	(N=8)	(N=8)	(N=7)	(N=8)	(N= 37)
Any AE	5 (83.3)	6 (75.0)	8 (100)	4 (57.1)	5 (62.5)	28 (75.7)
Influenza-like	2 (33.3)	1 (12.5)	4 (50.0)	1 (14.3)	1 (12.5)	9 (34.3)
illness						
Headache	2 (33.3)	1 (12.5)	2 (25.0)	0	0	5 (13.5)
Diarrhoea	2 (33.3)	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	0	0	4 (10.8)
Fatigue	2 (33.3)	1 (12.5)	0	0	1 (12.5)	4 (10.8)
Pruritus	1 (16.7)	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	1 (14.3)	0	4 (10.8)
Anorexia	1 (16.7)	2 (25.0)	0	0	0	3 (8.1)
Back pain	0	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	0	1 (12.5)	3 (8.1)
Myalgia	0	2 (25.0)	1 (12.5)	0	0	3 (8.1)

AE, adverse event.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. TMC435-C202 study design.

Fig. 2. Mean (\pm SE) change from baseline in plasma HCV RNA (\log_{10} IU/mL) for each genotype cohort.

Fig. 3. Individual changes from baseline in plasma HCV RNA (log₁₀IU/mL) over time



*Patients could start treatment with either PegIFN α -2a or PegIFN α -2b in combination with RBV. HCV, hepatitis C virus; FUP, follow-up; GT, genotype; PegIFN, pegylated interferon; PK, pharmacokinetics; *q.d.*, once daily; RBV, ribavirin; RNA, ribonucleic acid.



h, hours; HVC, hepatitis C virus; PegIFN, pegylated interferon; RBV, ribavirin; RNA, ribonucleic acid Serum samples were obtained at baseline, Days 1–11, Day 21 (follow-up 1; 14 days after final TMC435 administration) and Days 37-42 (follow-up 2; 30–35 days after final TMC435 administration).



h, hours; HVC, hepatitis C virus; PegIFN, pegylated interferon; RBV, ribavirin; RNA, ribonucleic acid; SE, standard error Serum samples were obtained at baseline, Days 1–11, Day 21 (follow-up 1; 14 days after final TMC435 administration) and Days 37-42 (follow-up 2; 30–35 days after final TMC435 administration).



*NS5B assay failed in four patients. LIPAv2 assay determined genotypes were genotype 4 (one patient) and genotype 6c-I (three patients)