Stimulation of HIV-1-Specific Cytolytic T Lymphocytes Facilitates Elimination of Latent Viral Reservoir after Virus Reactivation
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SUMMARY

Highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) suppresses HIV-1 replication but cannot eliminate the virus because HIV-1 establishes latent infection. Interruption of HAART leads to a rapid rebound of viremia, so life-long treatment is required. Efforts to purge the latent reservoir have focused on reactivating latent proviruses without inducing global T cell activation. However, the killing of the infected cells after virus reactivation, which is essential for elimination of the reservoir, has not been assessed. Here we show that after reversal of latency in an in vitro model, infected resting CD4+ T cells survived despite viral cytopathic effects, even in the presence of autologous cytolytic T lymphocytes (CTLs) from most patients on HAART. Antigen-specific stimulation of patient CTLs led to efficient killing of infected cells. These results demonstrate that stimulating HIV-1-specific CTLs prior to reactivating latent HIV-1 may be essential for successful eradication efforts and should be considered in future clinical trials.

INTRODUCTION

The extremely stable latent reservoir for HIV-1 in resting memory CD4+ T cells (Chun et al., 1995, 1997a, 1997b; Finzi et al., 1997; Wong et al., 1997) is a major barrier to viral eradication. In latently infected cells, the integrated provirus is transcriptionally silent (Hermankova et al., 2003; Chun et al., 2003) but is able to produce replication-competent virus after cellular activation (Finzi et al., 1997; Wong et al., 1997; Chun et al., 1997b). Because of the stability of the reservoir (Siliciano et al., 2003; Strain et al., 2003), life-long antiretroviral therapy is required, raising concerns about adverse effects over decades of therapy, the evolution of resistance, and the financial burden of treatment. Strategies to eradicate HIV-1 from infected individuals are therefore urgently needed.

Efforts to eradicate HIV-1 have focused on reactivating latent proviruses. Early studies with IL-2 or IL-2 plus CD3 antibodies to reactivate latent HIV-1 failed to eliminate the reservoir and caused significant toxicity as a result of global T cell activation (Chun et al., 1999; Prins et al., 1999; van Praag et al., 2001; Stellbrink et al., 2002; Kulksky et al., 2002). More recent studies have focused on identifying small molecules that reactivate latent virus without inducing host cell activation (Richman et al., 2009). Three FDA-approved drugs, valproic acid (Ylisasti-gui et al., 2004), suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) (Contreras et al., 2009; Archin et al., 2009; Edelstein et al., 2009), and disulfiram (Xing et al., 2011), can reactivate latent virus in primary cell models and/or cells from infected individuals. Clinical studies of valproic acid, which has histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor activity, have not shown a consistent decrease in the latent reservoir (Lehrman et al., 2005; Steel et al., 2006; Siliciano et al., 2007; Archin et al., 2008, 2010; Sagot-Lerolle et al., 2008). These studies raise a critical issue: the fate of this reservoir after virus reactivation in resting CD4+ T cells. It is generally presumed that infected cells will die after reactivation of virus gene expression either as a result of viral cytopathic effects (CPEs) or host immune responses or both. Because newer approaches for reactivating latent HIV-1 utilize agents that do not induce global T cell activation, it is important to determine whether viral CPE or host responses can eliminate latently infected resting CD4+ T cells after virus reactivation.

Direct killing of infected cells by HIV-1 through caspase-dependent or -independent mechanisms has been observed in activated CD4+ T cells (Roshal et al., 2001; Bolton et al., 2002; Sakai et al., 2006; Shedlock et al., 2008). Other studies showed that early events in abortive HIV-1 infection induced cell death in resting CD4+ T cells (Zhou et al., 2008; Doitsh et al., 2010). However, whether the reversal of viral latency causes cell death in resting CD4+ T cells or not has not been assessed. Besides viral CPE, host immunity is also presumed to eliminate the latently infected CD4+ T cells after virus reactivation. Cytolytic T lymphocytes (CTLs) are a major component of the host response to HIV-1. CTLs partially limit viral replication (Walker et al., 1987; Koup et al., 1994; Borrow et al., 1997; Schmitz et al., 1999; Gandhi and Walker, 2002; Hersperger et al., 2011)
reactivate latent HIV-1 in resting CD4+ T cells. We found that CD4+ T cells after treatment with agents that reactivate latent viruses were reactivated. Antigen-specific stimulation of patient CTLs prior to virus reactivation did not cause death of infected cells. CTLs from patients on HAART failed to kill autologous latently infected CD4+ T cells after latent viruses were reactivated. Antigen-specific stimulation of patient CTLs prior to virus reactivation led to rapid and effective killing of infected cells. Our results suggest that reactivation of latent HIV-1 will not purge the viral latent reservoir. Stimulation of HIV-1-specific CTL responses prior to virus reactivation may be essential for the viral eradication.

RESULTS

Reactivation of Latent HIV-1 In Vitro without T Cell Activation Does Not Affect the Latent Reservoir

We first examined the stability of HIV-1 latent reservoir in resting CD4+ T cells after treatment with agents that reactivate latent HIV-1 without causing T cell activation. If viral CPE or CTL responses cause the death of infected resting CD4+ T cells, reactivating latent HIV-1 should result in reduction or elimination of latent reservoir. We used the histone deacetylase inhibitor SAHA, an FDA-approved drug used to treat cutaneous T cell lymphoma. SAHA has been shown to reactivate latent HIV-1 in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from HIV-1-infected individuals on HAART (Contreras et al., 2009; Archin et al., 2009). We treated PBMCs from patients on HAART with SAHA for 6 days. After treatment with SAHA, the frequency of latently infected resting CD4+ T cells was measured by the standard limiting dilution virus culture assay (Figure 1). Infected cells were detected in 9/9 samples at frequencies similar to those in parallel cultures set up without SAHA. These results indicate that reversal of latent infection alone is not sufficient to eliminate the latent reservoir in resting CD4+ T cells.

Viral CPEs Do Not Cause the Death of Infected Resting CD4+ T Cells

To provide further evidence that viral CPE do not cause the death of infected resting CD4+ T cells, we used freshly isolated primary resting CD4+ T cells to assess cell killing after infection. Resting cells were infected with a replication-competent HIV-1 (NL4-3-Δnef-EGFP) with all open reading frames (ORFs) intact except nef, which was disrupted by EGFP (Figure S1 available online). Although abortive infection with incomplete reverse transcription can cause cell death in resting CD4+ T cells (Doitsh et al., 2010), steps in the life cycle through integration are already complete in latently infected cells. Therefore, we focused on the fate of productively infected (GFP+) cells. Productively infected resting CD4+ T cells survived after acute infection whereas productively infected cells that were in an activated state rapidly died (Figures 2A and 2B). In the activated CD4+ T cell population, infected cells with higher viral gene expression died faster because the mean fluorescent intensity of GFP+ cells decreased by half from day 3 to day 4 after infection (Figure 2C). The survival of resting CD4+ T cells may reflect 20-fold lower viral gene expression than in activated cells.

We also evaluated the effects of reversing latency in a previously described primary cell model (Yang et al., 2009). Latently infected CD4+ T cells were generated in vitro by using a replication-deficient HIV-1 reporter virus NL4-3-Δnef-Δpol-EGFP (Figure S1). This vector expresses Vif, Vpr, and Env, the HIV-1 gene products most commonly associated with CPE, and all other viral genes except pol and nef. The latter is disrupted by the insertion of the GFP coding sequence. Viral latency was then reversed with CD3 plus CD28 antibodies or SAHA, and cultures were monitored for GFP expression and markers of cell death (Annexin V staining). SAHA at 500 nM is not toxic to resting CD4+ or CD8+ T cells and does not activate T cells (Figure S2). With anti-CD3 plus anti-CD28 costimulation, a substantial fraction of GFP+ infected cells died rapidly (Figure 2D), confirming that viral CPE can be observed in this system despite transduction with Bcl-2. However, when latency was reversed without T cell activation via SAHA, GFP+ infected cells survived throughout the 18 day observation period (Figures 2D and 2E). To rule out the possibility that the stable GFP+ fraction in the SAHA-treated group was due to continuous reactivation of latently infected CD4+ T cells, GFP+ fractions were purified by cell sorting from SAHA-treated and costimulated cultures for further analysis. No cell death was observed in purified infected resting CD4+ T cells after reversal of viral latency by SAHA. In contrast, cells treated with CD3 plus CD28 antibodies became activated and died rapidly (Figure 2F). Thus, under conditions where viral CPEs kill activated CD4+ T cells, resting CD4+ T cells survive, indicating that immune clearance may be critical for eradication strategies that reverse latency without T cell activation.

CD8+ T Cells from Patients on HAART Do Not Kill Infected CD4+ T Cells after Virus Reactivation

The cytolytic capacity of CD8+ T cells in patients with progressive disease is defective and is not restored with HAART (Kalams et al., 1999; Sáez-Cirión et al., 2007; Migueles et al., 2008, 2009;
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Hersperger et al., 2010). It is unknown whether CTLs from these patients can kill resting CD4+ T cells in which latent infection has been reversed. We therefore developed a coculture system to evaluate CTL-mediated killing of autologous latently infected CD4+ T cells. HIV-1 latently infected cells were generated from primary CD4+ T cells from HIV-1-infected and uninfected donors as described previously (Yang et al., 2009) with the replication-deficient HIV-1 reporter virus NL4-3-L-tar-pLenv-drEGFP. In this reporter virus, genes important for CTL recognition such as gag are intact, but vpr is inactivated to improve the yield of infected cells. Error bars represent SEM, n = 3. See also Figure S1.

In the representative experiment shown in Figure 3C, latently infected cells were generated by superinfection of primary CD4+ T cells from an elite controller (EC32), a patient who controls HIV-1 without treatment (Deeks and Walker, 2007; O’Connell et al., 2009). Such patients generally have high HIV-1-specific CTL activity (Sáez-Cirión et al., 2007; Migueles et al., 2008, 2009; Hersperger et al., 2010). SAHA induced reactivation of latent HIV-1, with 1.22% of the cells becoming GFP+ after 2 days. In the absence of CD8+ T cells, the fraction of GFP+ cells increased slightly over the next 8 days. However, when autologous CD8+ T cells were present, the number of GFP+ cells decreased dramatically. When latently infected cells were generated from HIV-negative donors, the fraction of CD4+ T cells expressing GFP did not decrease during the coculture with autologous CD8+ T cells or spontaneous reentry into latency because no decrease was observed in cultures from HIV-negative donors. Rather, the decrease is likely to reflect HIV-1-specific CTL activity (Figure 3D).

In the absence of CD8+ T cells, the fraction of GFP+ cells increased slightly over the next 8 days. However, when autologous CD8+ T cells were present, the number of GFP+ cells decreased dramatically. When latently infected cells were generated from HIV-negative donors, the fraction of CD4+ T cells expressing GFP did not decrease during the coculture with autologous CD8+ T cells or spontaneous reentry into latency because no decrease was observed in cultures from HIV-negative donors. Rather, the decrease is likely to reflect HIV-1-specific CTL activity (Figure 3D). Three HLA-B57+ ECs with HIV-1-specific CTL activity were also included as positive controls. A substantial decrease in GFP+ cells was observed for all three (Figure 3D). This decrease is not due to nonspecific killing by CD8+ T cells or spontaneous reentry into latency because no decrease was observed in cultures from HIV-negative donors. Rather, the decrease is likely to reflect HIV-1-specific killing by CD8+ T cells (see below).

We also used disulfiram to reactivate latent virus in CD4+ T cells and monitored cell death with the presence of autologous CD8+ T cells. A modest killing effect was observed at day 2 in cultures from two HLA-B57+ ECs (Figure S3A). No additional killing effect was observed after longer periods of culture in the presence of disulfiram, probably because of the general cytotoxicity of disulfiram. Therefore, disulfiram was not used for further study.

In the case of all three ECs, a notable fraction of GFP-positive cells (20% to 40%) remained after 8 days of coculture. To test...
Whether these cells were resistant to CTL-mediated killing, we added freshly isolated autologous CD8+ T cells for the second time at day 8 of coculture and continued the culture for an additional 8 days. A further reduction of GFP-positive cells was observed (Figure S3B). Therefore, the residual GFP-positive cells were not resistant to CTL-mediated killing. A more likely explanation is that the viability of CD8+ T cells decreased after several days of culture in vitro whereas CD4+ T cells survived better as a result of Bcl-2 overexpression.

Eradication strategies will be implemented in patients with progressive disease who respond to HAART. Thus, it is important to determine whether such patients have CTLs that kill infected cells after reversal of latency. Of cultures from eight patients on HAART, only one had CD8+ T cells that eliminated latently infected CD4+ T cells at a 1:1 effector-to-target (E:T) ratio even at higher E:T ratios, no substantial killing of GFP+ cells was observed in cultures from patients on HAART at day 2 of coculture (Figure 3E). Killing of GFP+ cells was observed only at high E:T ratios after a longer period of coculture (Figure 3E). These results suggest limitations in the number and/or cytolytic capacity of HIV-1-specific CTLs that can be restored after coculture with infected target cells. We therefore hypothesized that prior antigen-specific stimulation of CD8+ T cells might facilitate the elimination of latently infected cells after virus reactivation. 

**Prestimulation of Patient CD8+ T Cells Leads to Elimination of HIV-1 Latently Infected Cells**

CD8+ T cells from infected donors were stimulated with HIV-1 Gag peptides and IL-2 for 6 days and then cocultured with autologous CD4+ T cells treated with SAHA as described above. We...
used B57-restricted Gag peptides to stimulate CD8+ T cells from HLA B57+ EC and a mixture of 129 Group M consensus Gag peptides to stimulate CD8+ T cells from patients on HAART. For ECs, who generally have strong CTL responses, presimulation led to more rapid and effective CTL clearance of cells reactivated from latency (Figure 4A). The median half-life of latently infected CD4+ T cells from those patients was reduced from 34.2 days to 3.6 days (Figure S4). Increasing the E:T ratio further enhanced killing (Figure 4B). Prestimulation with Gag peptides and IL-2 caused modest proliferation of HIV-1-specific CTLs, but IL-2 alone did not (Figures 5A and 5B). Prestimulation also upregulated granzyme B, INF-γ, CD107a, and perforin production, but not IL-2 production, in HIV-1-specific CTLs (Figure 5C). These results suggest that antigen-specific stimulation restored killing ability of CD8+ T cells from patients on HAART and therefore facilitated the elimination of infected resting CD4+ T cells after reversal of viral latency.

**Reduction in GFP+ Cells in Coculture Is Caused by Direct Killing by HIV-1-Specific CTLs**

CTL killing requires cell-to-cell contact and is MHC class I restricted. As shown in Figure 6A, the clearance of GFP+ cells was abolished when CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were cocultured in trans-well plates, which allow the exchange of cytokines but block cell-to-cell contact. The reduction in GFP+ cells was inhibited by the MHC class I-specific antibody W6/32, which blocks T cell recognition of class I MHC-peptide complexes (Figure 6A). W6/32 did not induce reactivation or affect activation by SAHA (Figure S5). To confirm that the observed killing required expression of viral genes, we generated latently infected cells with the virus NL4-3-ΔvprΔenv-drEGFP, which expresses only Tat and Rev (Figure S1). As shown in Figure 6B, there was no CTL-induced reduction in GFP+ cells in the NL4-3-ΔvprΔenv-drEGFP group in two of three patients and only a partial reduction in the third. This reduction was probably due to Rev-specific CTLs (data not shown). In control cultures from the same patients, there was robust killing of cells infected with NL4-3-ΔvprΔenv-drEGFP, which expresses all viral genes except vpr and env. Thus, these CTL responses specifically target viral proteins. For unstimulated CD8+ T cells from patients on HAART, Gag protein is the major target antigen (Figures 6B and 6C). It remained possible that the disappearance of GFP+ cells was due to CTL-mediated inhibition of viral gene transcription or translation. Therefore, we isolated the CD4+ T cells after 8 days coculture and activated them with CD3 and CD28 antibodies. No GFP+ cells were rescued (Figure 6D), indicating that the target cells had been killed. These observations confirmed that the disappearance of GFP+ cells in coculture was due to CTL-mediated killing, which was contact dependent and MHC class I restricted.

**Prestimulated Patient CD8+ T Cells Eliminate CD4+ T Cells Infected with Autologous Viruses**

It is important to investigate whether CD8+ T cells stimulated with Group M consensus Gag peptides can recognize viruses...
coming from the same patient’s latent reservoir. CD4+ T cells isolated from patients on HAART were infected with viruses recovered from resting CD4+ T cells from the same patient and then cocultured with autologous CD8+ T cells. The viruses recovered from patient resting CD4+ T cells were probably a mixture of different viral clones. In Figures 7A and 7B, most of the infected CD4+ T cells were killed 3 days after coculture with stimulated autologous CTLs. This killing was contact dependent as shown by the fact that no killing was observed when CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells were cultured in transwells. Because the killing efficiency was similar for target cells infected with patient-derived virus and HIV-1 BaL (Figure 7C), the small fraction of infected cells that are not killed are probably not due to the resistance to CTL killing. It is more probably due to the insufficient time for CTL killing, which was limited to 3 days because of the viability of infected primary CD4+ T cells cultured in vitro. These results demonstrate that stimulated patient CTLs efficiently killed the target cells infected with the autologous viruses.

**DISCUSSION**

Purging the latent reservoir for HIV-1 requires reactivation of latent virus and then elimination of infected host cells. Recent studies have identified several pharmacologic agents that...
reverse latency without inducting global T cell activation (Ylisastigui et al., 2004; Contreras et al., 2009; Archin et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009; Xing et al., 2011). In our study, SAHA was tested for the reactivation of latent virus and was shown to be as effective as general T cell activators in our primary cell model, although with slower kinetics. SAHA is currently used in the treatment of Crohn’s disease and has been used to maximally reactivate latent viruses in vivo. However, it is often assumed that the latently infected CD4+ T cells will die after the virus is reactivated because of viral CPE or host immunity or both. Here we demonstrate that neither viral CPEs nor CD8+ T cells from patients on HAART are sufficient to cause immediate death of latently infected resting CD4+ T cells after virus reactivation with agents that do not cause T cell activation. In our in vitro model of HIV-1 latency, Bcl-2 is overexpressed in order to promote long-term survival of primary CD4+ T cells. Bcl-2 overexpression in our system imposes some limitations and could potentially interfere with the study of viral CPE or the CTL response. To address this concern, freshly isolated, prestimulated autologous CD8+ T cells from patients on HAART were cocultured with SAHA-treated latently infected CD4+ T cells at a 4:1 ratio with 500 nM SAHA for 4 days.

Figure 6. Reduction in GFP+ Cells in Coculture Is Caused by Direct Killing by HIV-1-Specific CTLs

(A) Reduction of GFP+ cells is cell contact dependent and MHC class I restricted. Prestimulated autologous CD8+ T cells from patients on HAART were cocultured with SAHA-treated CD4+ T cells at a 4:1 ratio with 500 nM SAHA and the indicated treatment for 4 days.

(B and C) Elimination of latently infected cells requires viral gene expression. Latently infected cells were generated with indicated viruses. Unstimulated autologous CD8+ T cells were cocultured with SAHA-treated latently infected CD4+ T cells at a 4:1 ratio with 500 nM SAHA for 4 days.

(D) GFP+ cells cannot be recovered after coculture. CD4+ T cells were isolated after 8 days of coculture with prestimulated autologous CD8+ T cells at a 4:1 ratio in the presence of 500 nM SAHA, and then costimulated with CD3 and CD28 antibodies for 2 days. GFP+ cells were analyzed with FACS and normalized to the control culture set up without CD8+ T cells.

Error bars represent SEM, n = 3. See also Figure S6.
reactivation in our in vitro model is unlikely to be due to overexpression of Bcl-2.

Resting memory CD4+ T cells may be resistant to viral CPE for several reasons. First, viral gene transcription and translation are much less efficient in resting cells. Second, resting memory CD4+ T cells are in general less prone to cell death than activated CD4+ T cells (Stockinger et al., 2006; Surh et al., 2006; van Leeuwen et al., 2009; Taylor and Jenkins, 2011). Third, resting memory CD4+ T cells remain at a quiescent G0 state after treatment with agents like SAHA, and in this state are less likely to be affected by the viral proteins Vpr and Vif (Roshal et al., 2001; Sakai et al., 2006; Shedlock et al., 2008), which cause cell cycle arrest and cell death in activated cells. Thus, reversing latency with agents that do not induce global T cell activation will not eliminate the latent reservoir. Finding a way to eliminate the cellular reservoir after virus reactivation is thus an important step to viral eradication.

Although HIV-1-specific CTLs are presumed to be capable of eliminating latently infected cells after the reversal of latency, we found that freshly isolated CD8+ T cells from patients on HAART were effective only at high E:T ratios and with a prolonged period of coculture. Little killing of infected target cells was observed within the first 2 days of coculture. One explanation is that the frequency of HIV-1-specific CD8+ T cells in patients on HAART is diminished as a result of the lack of antigen stimulation. In addition, the CTL dysfunction seen in patients with progressive disease is not fully restored on HAART (Kalams et al., 1999; Sáez-Cirión et al., 2007; Migueles et al., 2008, 2009; Hersperger et al., 2010).

CD8+ T cells from one of eight patients (HAART patient 06) on HAART retained strong ability to kill infected target cells without in vitro stimulation. We used Gag peptides to stimulate CD8+ T cells from all eight patients on HAART and found that this patient had more Gag-specific CD8+ T cells and/or better antigen-driven proliferation than other patients. We also found that this patient had higher Granzyme B and INF-γ production than a representative control patient after in vitro stimulation with Gag peptides. This patient also had a notable CTL response against other HIV-1 proteins. It appears that this patient has a larger number of HIV-1-specific CD8+ T cells and has broader recognition of viral epitopes. Unfortunately, the majority of the patients on HAART does not have such strong CTL response and may not be able to eliminate the latent reservoir after virus reactivation.

Here we demonstrated that CTL activity could be restored through in vitro stimulation with Gag peptides for every patient on HAART studied. This suggests that HIV-1-specific CTL responses in patients on HAART are defective but can be restored to effectively eliminate latently infected resting CD4+ T cells after virus reactivation. Boosting CTL responses and then reactivating latent HIV-1 may be an efficient strategy to eradicate HIV-1. Stimulation with other viral proteins could provide a broader CTL response in some of the patients. Because reactivation strategies will probably be implemented with the presence of HAART, further rounds of viral replication are inhibited and de novo CTL escape mutations cannot arise. The pre-existing CTL escape variants archived in latent reservoir can be overcome by inducing strong and broad CTL responses against multiple viral epitopes and are not an obstacle to elimination.

Other strategies for promoting the death of infected cells have been proposed. For example, elegant studies have shown that cells expressing the HIV-1 Env protein could be targeted with antibody-conjugated bacterial toxins (Brooks et al., 2003). The application of this approach may be limited because of the high variability of the Env protein, drug bioavailability, and potential adverse effects.

In conclusion, resting CD4+ T cells latently infected with HIV-1 will not be efficiently killed by either viral CPE or host CTL responses after virus reactivation. Our study strongly suggests...
that boosting CTL responses through vaccination prior to virus reactivation may be essential for eradication of HIV-1 infection.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Study Subjects**
Peripheral blood for the isolation of primary CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cells was obtained from HIV-1-infected donors (Table S1) and healthy adult volunteers. This study was approved by the Johns Hopkins Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was provided by all study participants. For CTL study with human primary cell models of HIV-1 latency, each patient and healthy adult was recruited for at least two visits. CD4\(^+\) T cells were isolated in the first visit for Bcl-2 transduction and then HIV-1 reporter virus infection. CD8\(^+\) T cells were isolated in the second visit to coculture with in vitro infected autologous CD4\(^+\) T cells.

**Generation of Latently Infected CD4\(^+\) T Cells In Vitro**
Primary CD4\(^+\) T cells from HIV/AIDS patients or healthy donors were isolated to generate HIV-1 latent infection in vitro as previously described (Yang et al., 2009). In brief, primary CD4\(^+\) T cells were transduced with Bcl-2 to allow long-term culture. Bcl-2-transduced primary CD4\(^+\) T cells return to a quiescent state but respond normally to T cell-activating stimuli (Yang et al., 2009). Bcl-2-transduced cells were infected with HIV-1 reporter viruses. The infected cells were then cultured for several weeks without activating stimuli to allow establishment of latency in surviving cells. Flow cytometric cell sorting was used to remove residual GFP\(^+\) cells. This approach produces cultures in which 0.5%–3% of the cells are latently infected, with the remaining cells (>97%) being uninfected. All HIV-1 reporter viruses used in this study are listed in Figure S1. HIV-1 reporter viruses NL4-3-Δnef-Δpol-EGFP and NL4-3-Δnef-EGFP were used for viral CPE study. HIV-1 reporter virus NL4-3-Δvpr-Δenv-ΔEGFP was used for CTL studies unless otherwise specified.

**Coculture of Autologous CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T Cells**
After cell sorting, the purified GFP\(^-\) cells including latently infected cells were treated with 500 nM SAHA for 2 days before coculture. SAHA-treated CD4\(^+\) T cells were cocultured with autologous CD8\(^+\) T cells in the presence of 500 nM SAHA in 24-well or 48-well plate. The fraction of residual GFP\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells was measured by FACS. For analysis of contact dependence, CD4\(^+\) T cells and CD8\(^+\) T cells were placed in separate chambers of trans-well plates (0.4 \(\mu\)m, Costar). For analysis of MHC-I restriction, the class I-specific antibody W6/32 was added to the coculture medium at 5 \(\mu\)g/ml.

**Prestimulation of CD8\(^+\) T Cells**
PBMCs from B57\(^*\) ECs were isolated and cultured in the presence of B57-restricted peptides KF11 and TW10 (5 \(\mu\)g/ml for each) and IL-2 (100 U/ml). PBMCs from patients on HAART were isolated and cultured in the presence of a mixture of 129 Gag peptides (80 ng/ml for each) (NIH AIDS Reagent Program) and IL-2 (100 U/ml). CD8\(^+\) T cells were isolated 6 days after stimulation for coculture with infected CD4\(^+\) T cells.

**Recovery of Patient Virus from Resting CD4\(^+\) T Cells**
Resting CD4\(^+\) T cells were isolated from patients on HAART. Coculture assay was performed to recover and amplify replication-competent viruses as previously described (Siliciano and Siliciano, 2005). The viruses were recovered from five to ten million resting CD4\(^+\) T cells and were probably a mixture of different viral clones.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**
Supplemental Information includes five figures and one table and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2012.01.014.
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