HIV and Recent Illicit Drug Use Interact to Affect Verbal Memory in Women
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Objective: HIV infection and illicit drug use are each associated with diminished cognitive performance. This study examined the separate and interactive effects of HIV and recent illicit drug use on verbal memory, processing speed, and executive function in the multicenter Women’s Interagency HIV Study.

Methods: Participants included 952 HIV-infected and 443 HIV-uninfected women (mean age = 42.8, 64% African-American). Outcome measures included the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test—Revised and the Stroop test. Three drug use groups were compared: recent illicit drug users (cocaine or heroin use in past 6 months, n = 140), former users (lifetime cocaine or heroin use but not in past 6 months, n = 651), and nonusers (no lifetime use of cocaine or heroin, n = 604).

Results: The typical pattern of recent drug use was daily or weekly smoking of crack cocaine. HIV infection and recent illicit drug use were each associated with worse verbal learning and memory (P < 0.05). Importantly, there was an interaction between HIV serostatus and recent illicit drug use such that recent illicit drug use (compared with non-use) negatively impacted verbal learning and memory only in HIV-infected women (P < 0.01). There was no interaction between HIV serostatus and illicit drug use on processing speed or executive function on the Stroop test.

Conclusions: The interaction between HIV serostatus and recent illicit drug use on verbal learning and memory suggests a potential synergistic neurotoxicity that may affect the neural circuitry underlying performance on these tasks.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite improved cognitive outcomes after the introduction of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), HIV-infected individuals continue to show cognitive impairment, particularly in verbal episodic memory and executive function.1 Episodic memory is impaired in up to 50% of HIV-infected individuals,2 and these cognitive deficits predict daily functioning.3–5 HIV-associated deficits in...
verbal memory are characterized by deficits in executive control of encoding and retrieval mechanisms,6–9 a pattern consistent with a frontal–subcortical involvement. Dependence on illicit drugs is also consistently associated with deficits in cognitive function, including verbal memory6–14 and executive function.15–18 Given that the use of illicit substances is common in HIV-infected populations, it is important to understand how HIV infection and illicit drug use might interact to impact cognitive function.

A number of recent in vitro and in vivo studies suggest that cocaine directly affects the neuropathogenesis of HIV.19–31 Cocaine amplifies HIV replication,21,22,25,28,30 including in human astrocytes,29 which can function as cellular reservoirs for HIV in the brain.32 Cocaine may also increase HIV-infected monocyte migration across the blood–brain barrier.23,24 Cocaine enhances the neurotoxic effects of the HIV viral protein Tat.19,20,26,27,31 Similarly, opiates increase neurotoxicity of HIV proteins Tat33–35 and gp120.35 Importantly, cocaine and opiates, in combination with HIV proteins, negatively impact hippocampal neurogenesis.36 Given that the hippocampus is critical for episodic memory, translation of these preclinical findings into clinical studies may lend important new insights into memory function in HIV-infected cocaine users.

Although many studies have investigated the impact of illicit drug use on HIV disease progression, the effects of cocaine and heroin use on cognition in HIV-infected women have not been elucidated.37 Such studies are critical in light of the myriad sex differences in illicit substance use disorders. Women have higher current and lifetime use of cocaine and are more likely than men to become cocaine dependent.38–40 Women who use cocaine are 3 times more likely to become infected with HIV than women who do not use cocaine.41 Cocaine use is also associated with accelerated disease progression in women with HIV, even when statistically controlling for antiretroviral therapy (ART) use43,44 and medication adherence.44 For example, in the Women’s Interagency HIV Study (WIHS), HIV-infected women who used crack cocaine were 3 times more likely to die of AIDS-related causes than women who did not use crack cocaine, even when controlling for adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy.44 Studies of illicit drug use in women generally have not found an effect of opiates on HIV disease progression.43,45

Our aim was to investigate the impact of HIV infection and illicit drug use on cognition in women. We compared 3 categories of drug use: recent use, former use, and nonuse. Primary outcomes were measures of verbal learning and memory, processing speed, and executive function based on neuropsychological tests with demonstrated sensitivity to HIV-related neurocognitive dysfunction.46–50 We hypothesized that HIV and illicit drug use, especially cocaine use, would have an interactive effect on verbal learning and memory and executive function.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

All participants were enrolled in the WIHS, the largest prospective, longitudinal, multicenter study of HIV progression in women.51,52 Study methodology, standardized data collection, and training of interviewers have been previously reported.51,52 We analyzed cross-sectional data from 947 HIV-infected and 443 HIV-uninfected control participants (mean age = 42.8, 64% African-American). The data were collected as part of a study of menopause, cognition, and mood that was incorporated into the WIHS core visits in April 2007 to April 2008 (WIHS visit 25).53 Extensive information on demographic and behavioral variables was obtained, including self-report of recent and past use of alcohol, marijuana, crack cocaine, powder cocaine, and heroin.

Altogether, 1901 participants were assessed during that WIHS core visit, and 1552 of those women completed the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test—Revised (HVLT-R). We excluded 157 of those participants because they reported: (a) primary language other than English (n = 14), (b) history of stroke/cerebrovascular accidents (n = 18), and/or (c) use of antipsychotic medication in the past 6 months (n = 130). A comparison of women who were included in this analysis (n = 1395, 73% of the overall sample) vs. those who were excluded (n = 506) showed similar rates of cocaine and heroin use, but women who were included completed more years of education (12.4 vs. 10.6 years, P < 0.001), performed better on the Wide Range Achievement Test—Revised (WRAT-R) (92.2 vs. 87.3, P < 0.001), were more likely to be African-American (64% vs. 41%, P < 0.001) and use marijuana (72% vs. 66%, recent or former, P = 0.01) and use marijuana (75% vs. 60%, recent or former, P < 0.001).

**Illicit Drug Use**

The WIHS collects information on drug use at 6-month intervals consistent with the twice yearly WIHS visit schedule. Women are asked if they have used drugs since their last WIHS visit. If they have used drugs since their last WIHS visit, they are queried about the route of administration (smoking, sniffing, and injecting) of each substance and their frequency of use. For the current study, recent illicit drug use was defined as self-reported use of crack cocaine, powder cocaine, or heroin since the last WIHS study visit (past 6 months). Former use was defined as any lifetime use of cocaine and/or heroin but no use since the last WIHS study visit (past 6 months). Nonuse was defined as no lifetime use of cocaine and/or heroin. In follow-up analyses focusing on particular drugs, crack cocaine and powder cocaine were combined into one cocaine use variable, as there was insufficient statistical power to separate the 2 forms of the drug. Frequency data were categorized as once a month or less, at least once a week but less than once per day, or once a day or more.

**Clinical Neuropsychological Measures**

Participants completed the HVLT-R and Comalli Stroop test. The HVLT-R is a 12-item-list learning test used to measure verbal episodic memory.54 Outcomes include total
words recalled on trial 1 (single trial learning) and across each of 3 learning trials (total learning), number of words recalled after a 25-minute delay (delayed recall), number of words correctly identified on a yes/no recognition test (recognition), percent retention (delayed recall/maximum score on trial 2 or 3), and learning slope. Recognition scores were calculated by subtracting the number of false positives (incorrectly responding "yes" to a word not presented) from the number of hits (correctly responding "yes" to a word that was presented). The Comalli Stroop test includes 3 trials: trials 1 and 2 measure attention and processing speed and trial 3 measures response inhibition/executive function. On trial 1, participants name the colors of a series of squares. On trial 2, they read a series of color names printed in black ink. On trial 3, participants name the color of the ink but ignore the word (eg, when shown the word "red" printed in blue ink, say "blue" rather than red). Completion times for all 3 trials were recorded. The WRAT-R measured reading achievement and served as an index of educational quality.

Covariates

Sociodemographic covariates and risk factors for cognitive impairment were selected based on previous literature and included study site, age, years of education, race/ethnicity, WRAT-R, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale (cutoff score of 16), recent self-reported use of antidepressant medication, and hepatitis C virus (HCV) seropositivity. Other covariates focused on risk behaviors and included smoking status (recent, former, and never), recent hazardous alcohol use (>7 drinks per week or more than 4 drinks in one sitting), marijuana/hash use (recent, former, and never). Additional clinical variables of interest were cART use (ie, no cART, cART and <95% compliant, and cART and ≥95% compliant), recent CD4 count less than 200 cells per cubic millimeter, recent HIV viral load greater than 10,000, CD4 nadir less than 200 cells per cubic millimeter, and duration of ART use.

Statistical Analysis

Five percent of participants were missing WRAT-R scores. Missing values were imputed using a regression-based technique with race/ethnicity, age, education, site, and employment as predictors. Time-related outcomes on the Stroop were log transformed to correct for skewness. All outcome measures were transformed to z scores to allow for comparison of beta weights across outcome measures in models controlling for the same covariates.

Differences in demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics as a function of serostatus, illicit drug use, and their interaction were examined using analyses of variance for continuous variables and χ2 tests for categorical variables. In the overall sample, we conducted 2 series of multivariable regression analyses. The first series focused on the independent effects of serostatus and illicit drug use, adjusting for age, years of education, WRAT-R, race/ethnicity, site, depressive symptoms, self-reported use of antidepressant medication and dementia/encephalopathy (n = 59), marijuana use, smoking, hazardous alcohol use, and HCV. We also adjusted for number of prior exposures to the Stroop (range 1–3).

RESULTS

Population Characteristics

Participants included 952 HIV-infected and 443 HIV-uninfected women. They ranged in age from 22 to 78 years (M = 42.8, SD = 9.5), with high minority representation (64% African-American, 19% Hispanic). Ten percent (n = 140) reported use of cocaine and/or heroin since the previous study visit 6 months earlier, 47% (n = 651) reported former use of cocaine and/or heroin, and 43% (n = 604) reported never using cocaine and/or heroin in their lifetime. Among recent drug users, 70% had recently used only cocaine, 24% had recently used both cocaine and heroin, and 6% had recently used only heroin. Recent cocaine users mainly smoked crack (74%) or snorted cocaine (26%). Primary modes of recent heroin intake were snorting (17%) and injecting (14%). Critically, as shown in the Supplemental Digital Content (see Table S1, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A394), the typical pattern of recent use was at least daily (32%) or weekly (38%) smoking of crack cocaine (eg, 73%).

Compared with HIV-uninfected women, HIV-infected women were older, had a higher minority representation, were more likely to be HCV seropositive and to use antidepressant medication and cigarettes, and were less likely to engage in hazardous drinking, marijuana, and powder cocaine use (P < 0.05). Among recent users, recent and former illicit drug users were older, less educated, were more likely to be HCV seropositive, reported more depressive symptoms and antidepressant medication use, and were more likely to smoke, use marijuana, crack cocaine, powder cocaine, heroin, and engage in hazardous drinking (P < 0.05). Among recent users, HIV-infected women were less likely to sniff/snort cocaine and less frequently injected heroin than HIV-uninfected women (P < 0.05, see Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A394). Among HIV-infected women, recent users were less likely to be on cART and to adhere to their medication, were on ART for a shorter duration of time, and were diagnosed with HIV more recently than former and nonusers (P < 0.05).

Hopkins Verbal Learning Test—Revised

Table 2 shows the raw neuropsychological test scores as a function of serostatus and illicit drug use. HIV-infected women performed worse than HIV-uninfected women on total learning,
In adjusted analyses, recent illicit drug users performed worse than nonusers on learning slope ($P = 0.04$), delayed recall ($P = 0.007$), and recognition ($P = 0.02$). Recent drug users also performed worse than former drug users on recognition ($P = 0.03$). Former drug users did not perform differently than nonusers on any HVLT measure. The primary finding was that illicit drug use (recent vs. nonuse) interacted with serostatus to affect recognition.
trial 1, total learning, learning slope, and delayed recall ($P < 0.05$, see Fig. 1), but not recognition ($P = 0.73$). Among HIV-infected women, recent illicit drug users performed worse than nonusers on total learning ($B = -0.36$, SE = 0.12, $P = 0.002$), learning slope ($B = -0.42$, SE = 0.12, $P < 0.001$), and delayed recall ($B = -0.45$, SE = 0.12, $P < 0.001$). In contrast, among the HIV-uninfected women, recent users performed similarly to nonusers on total learning ($B = 0.22$, SE = 0.15, $P = 0.14$).

**TABLE 2.** Raw Neuropsychological Test Score Means and Statistical Comparisons by Serostatus and Crack, Cocaine, and/or Heroin Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Infected (n = 952)</th>
<th>Uninfected (n = 443)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recent (n = 91)</td>
<td>Former (n = 463)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVLT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial 1</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>5.23 (1.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total learning</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>19.46 (5.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning slope</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>1.31 (0.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed recall</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>6.26 (2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent retention</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>81.13 (29.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>9.59 (2.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trials 1 and 2*</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>67.79 (26.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial 3*</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>140.34 (42.60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unadjusted means are displayed, but log-transformed scores were used in the statistical comparisons.

**TABLE 3.** Results From Adjusted Analysis Examining the Effect of Serostatus and Crack, Cocaine, and/or Heroin Use and Their Interaction on Cognitive Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HVLT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial 1</td>
<td>−0.07 (0.06)</td>
<td>−0.04 (0.10)</td>
<td>−0.09 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>−0.43 (0.18)*</td>
<td>−0.01 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total learning</td>
<td>−0.14 (0.05)**</td>
<td>−0.16 (0.09)</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.06)</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−0.58 (0.17)**</td>
<td>0.01 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning slope</td>
<td>−0.16 (0.05)**</td>
<td>−0.20 (0.10)*</td>
<td>−0.10 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.10 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>−0.60 (0.18)**</td>
<td>−0.01 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed recall</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.05)*</td>
<td>−0.27 (0.10)**</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.15 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>−0.50 (0.17)**</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent retention</td>
<td>−0.02 (0.06)</td>
<td>−0.15 (0.11)</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.09 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>−0.16 (0.06)**</td>
<td>−0.24 (0.11)*</td>
<td>−0.04 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.20 (0.09)*</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trials 1 and 2*</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.05)</td>
<td>−0.04 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.07 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial 3</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.02 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All models are adjusted for age, education, race/ethnicity, WRAT-R, site, depressive symptoms, self-reported use of antidepressant medication, marijuana use, smoking, hazardous alcohol use, self-reported dementia, and HCV antibody. For Stroop, we also controlled for the number of times a woman was exposed to the test (range 1–3 times).

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$.

B, parameter estimates for each factor modeled individually.
learning slope ($B = 0.18, SE = 0.15, P = 0.23$), and delayed recall ($B = -0.05, SE = 0.15, P = 0.73$). Whereas the interaction between serostatus and drug use for each of the 4 measures was driven by differences between recent users and nonusers at the level of serostatus, for trial 1 only the interaction was driven by differences between HIV-infected and uninfected women at the level of drug use. Specifically for trial 1, the interaction was driven by serostatus effects at the level of drug use; among recent users, HIV-infected women performed worse than HIV-uninfected women ($B = -0.47, SE = 0.16, P = 0.004$), whereas among nonusers, HIV+ women performed similar to HIV-uninfected women ($B = -0.02, SE = 0.08, P = 0.84$).

Follow-up analyses probed the interaction between serostatus and recent drug use further to assess which particular drug (ie, cocaine with or without heroin, heroin with or without cocaine) contributed to the interaction. Serostatus interacted with cocaine use (recent vs. nonuse, $P < 0.05$) and heroin use (recent vs. nonuse, $P < 0.01$) to impact total learning and learning slope. Serostatus interacted with cocaine use (nonuse vs. recent) but not heroin use to impact delayed recall ($P = 0.04$). Additional analyses focused on dose response by examining the frequency of smoking crack on total learning, learning slope, and delayed recall (see Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A394). Serostatus interacted with frequency of crack use ($\geq 1$ week vs. nonuse) to affect total learning ($P = 0.03$) and delayed recall ($P = 0.005$). Again the patterns were that drug use impacted performance among HIV-infected women only.

In analyses of HIV-infected women only, the effects of illicit drug use (recent vs. nonuse) on total learning, learning slope, and delayed recall remained significant after controlling for disease characteristics (ie, CD4 count, viral load, medication use, duration on ART) (see Table 4). Recent users also performed worse than former users on learning slope and delayed recall, and former users performed worse than nonusers on delayed recall. Comparing recent users with nonusers on total learning and learning slope, recent heroin use predicted poorer performance ($B = -0.42, SE = 0.19, P = 0.03$ and $B = -0.58, SE = 0.24, P = 0.01$, respectively). Cocaine use predicted poorer performance on delayed recall ($B = -0.32, SE = 0.15, P = 0.03$). There was also a trend for heroin use to predict poorer performance on delayed recall ($B = -0.34, SE = 0.20, P = 0.08$). In HIV-infected women, the effects of smoking crack/cocaine at least once a week vs. nonuse remained significant on both total learning ($B = -0.39, SE = 0.19, P = 0.04$) and delayed recall ($B = -0.40, SE = 0.19, P = 0.04$) after controlling for disease characteristics (ie, CD4 count, viral load, medication use, duration on ART).

Stroop Test
Neither HIV infection nor drug use significantly impacted performance on the Stroop test (trials 1 and 2 or trial 3, $P > 0.05$). In addition, there were no significant interactions between illicit drug use and serostatus on the Stroop test ($P > 0.05$).

DISCUSSION
The aim of this study was to investigate the separate and interactive effects of illicit drug use and HIV infection on verbal learning and memory, processing speed, and executive function. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine this issue, and we provide new evidence that in women, recent illicit drug use may interact with HIV serostatus to negatively impact verbal learning and memory but not processing speed or response inhibition. The typical pattern of recent drug use was at least daily or weekly smoking of crack cocaine. The pattern of effects across different measures suggests that recent drug use (compared with nonuse) affects learning and memory more among HIV-infected than HIV-uninfected women. Cocaine use interacted with HIV serostatus to affect learning and delayed recall, but not recognition. Heroin interacted with HIV serostatus to affect only learning. Serostatus also interacted...
TABLE 4. Results From Adjusted Analysis Examining the Effect of Crack, Cocaine, and/or Heroin Use in HIV-Infected Women on Cognitive Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Trial 1 B (SE)</th>
<th>Total Learning B (SE)</th>
<th>Learning Slope B (SE)</th>
<th>Delayed Recall B (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for only non–HIV-specific factors†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent vs. nonusers</td>
<td>−0.16 (0.13)</td>
<td>−0.32 (0.12)*</td>
<td>−0.45 (0.15)**</td>
<td>−0.43 (0.12)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former vs. nonusers</td>
<td>−0.10 (0.08)</td>
<td>−0.13 (0.08)</td>
<td>−0.14 (0.10)</td>
<td>−0.19 (0.08)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent vs. former</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.11)</td>
<td>−0.19 (0.11)</td>
<td>−0.31 (0.13)*</td>
<td>−0.24 (0.11)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for non–HIV-specific factors and CD4, viral load, medication use, and duration on ART‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent vs. nonusers</td>
<td>−0.14 (0.16)</td>
<td>−0.29 (0.12)*</td>
<td>−0.42 (0.15)**</td>
<td>−0.44 (0.13)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former vs. nonusers</td>
<td>−0.10 (0.08)</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.08)</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.10)</td>
<td>−0.17 (0.08)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent vs. former</td>
<td>−0.04 (0.11)</td>
<td>−0.17 (0.11)</td>
<td>−0.30 (0.13)*</td>
<td>−0.27 (0.11)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.05. ** P < 0.01.
† Adjusted for age, education, race/ethnicity, WRAT-R, site, depressive symptoms, self-reported use of antidepressant medication, marijuana use, smoking, hazardous alcohol use, self-reported dementia, and HCV antibody.
‡ Adjusted for site, depressive symptoms, self-reported use of antidepressant medication, marijuana use, smoking, hazardous alcohol use, self-reported dementia, HCV antibody, recent CD4 count and viral load, CD4 nadir, medication use, and duration on ART.

B, parameter estimates for each factor modeled individually.

with frequency of crack cocaine use to negatively affect learning and delayed recall (but not recognition) more in HIV-infected women. HIV infection, regardless of substance use history, was associated with deficits in learning (ie, impaired total learning, learning slope) and delayed memory (impaired delayed recall, recognition), with no impairment in retention or attention (trial 1). Deficits in verbal learning and memory encoding might have important implications for clinical management of HIV, as neurocognitive deficits have been shown to relate to poor medication treatment adherence among HIV-infected individuals. Our results underscore the importance of effective substance abuse treatment in HIV-infected individuals.

Few studies have sufficient statistical power to test for an interactive effect of HIV and drugs of abuse on cognition. The HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center has investigated additive and potential interactive effects of methamphetamine and HIV. They found additive effects of methamphetamine use and HIV infection on neuropsychological function, neural and glial injuries, and cerebral blood flow. The only previous study to investigate the interactive effects of HIV and cocaine use on verbal memory (n = 237 American men) found no significant main effects for serostatus or cocaine use and no interaction of HIV and cocaine use on verbal memory, differences that were attributed to confounding effects of alcohol. A study of 43 women with a history of illicit drug use did not identify a relationship with cocaine or heroin use within the past 12 months and noted no interaction between HIV status and recent drug use on verbal memory or any cognitive domain; however, cell sizes were small (eg, n = 9). Similarly, we did not find a difference between recent and former users on total learning or delayed recall.

In our full sample of HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected women, there were no differences between former drug users and nonusers on any neurocognitive outcome, suggesting recovery of cognitive function. In contrast, in our HIV-infected sample, former users performed worse than nonusers on delayed recall. This pattern provides further evidence that drug use has a stronger negative impact on cognitive function in HIV-infected women. Recent use may have a larger negative impact than past use because of the synergistic neurotoxicity of HIV viral proteins with cocaine and heroin, with potential for recovery of cognitive function with sustained abstinence.

Other studies have looked within HIV-infected cohorts for effects of drug use on cognition, but without an HIV-uninfected control group. Our findings are consistent with other findings showing an effect of active cocaine dependence on delayed recall and visuospatial construction in HIV-infected individuals (n = 64, 72% men), with recall having the largest effect size (d = 0.93). As in the present study, CHARTER (75% men) found no impact of lifetime history of substance use on tests of processing speed and executive function. CHARTER also found that lifetime heroin dosage related to delayed memory. In comparison, we found that delayed memory related to recent use of cocaine, particularly use of crack cocaine more than once per week. Recent heroin use was associated with worse total learning in HIV-infected women. Recent stimulant use was associated with...
impairments in sustained attention in a sample of 40 HIV-infected individuals, but verbal memory was not examined and cocaine and methamphetamine use were combined.⁸⁵

Contrary to our hypothesis, serostatus and illicit drug use did not interact to affect inhibitory control. The scientific literature is mixed with respect to whether drug use impacts Stroop performance. A study of 159 men with at least one substance use disorder found a negative effect of HIV infection on performance during the incongruent condition of a computerized Reaction Time Stroop.⁴⁸ Other studies have failed to find a negative effect of cocaine use on Stroop performance in HIV-uninfected individuals¹⁰,¹⁵,¹⁸⁶ but have found effects on other executive measures such as the go/no test.¹⁵,¹⁶,¹⁸⁷

The use of the HVLT precludes a clear understanding of whether the interactive effects of HIV and recent drug use represent a deficit in acquisition/encoding, retention, and/or retrieval. However, the pattern of interactions provides tentative support of potential effects on acquisition and retrieval, with spared retention. Specifically, HIV serostatus interacted with recent drug use to affect acquisition (total learning and learning slope) and retrieval (impaired delayed recall but spared recognition), with no effect on retention. Interestingly, this same pattern of effects was evident in follow-up analyses examining the impact of cocaine use specifically and frequency of crack cocaine use. Crack cocaine was the primary drug of choice among recent users. Moreover, analyses of recent drug use in HIV-infected women alone showed deficits in acquisition (total learning and learning slope) and retrieval (impaired delayed recall but spared recognition), with no effect on retention. This pattern of interactive effects differs from the pattern of main effects associated with HIV serostatus and recent drug use, which were characterized by deficits in acquisition only. The apparent pattern of interactive effects on acquisition and retrieval suggests that HIV and cocaine might interact to influence subcortical–prefrontal circuitry. Chronic cocaine use has been associated with anatomical changes, cerebrovascular defects, and functional alterations in the prefrontal cortex.⁹⁸–⁹² Given the known executive component, such as encoding strategies, on episodic memory performance, deficits in subcortical–prefrontal circuitry may contribute to deficits in verbal memory.⁹³–⁹⁵ A neuroimaging study of delayed verbal memory in HIV-infected women demonstrated alterations in hippocampal function with decreased activation during verbal encoding and increased during verbal retrieval.⁹⁶ Importantly, the magnitude of those alterations correlated with worse delayed recall on the HVLT.⁹⁶ Together, these findings suggest that cocaine and heroin use in HIV-infected women may also lead to further alterations in hippocampal function during verbal encoding.

Our study had several limitations. First, self-report data were used to determine drug use categories. If women who had recently used illicit drugs reported never using cocaine, the impact of illicit drug use on cognition may be underestimated. Second, toxicology screens were not administered in conjunction with neuropsychological testing, so it is possible that the recent users could have been under the influence of drugs or experiencing drug withdrawal. WIHS staff are trained in detecting illicit substance use and reschedule women for cognitive testing if they seem to be under the influence of illicit substances. Third, given the use of multiple illicit substances in our cohort, we could not fully disentangle the effects of different substances on cognition. We found that cocaine use (with or without heroin) predicted worse total learning, learning slope, and delayed recall and heroin use (with or without cocaine) predicted worse total learning and learning slope among HIV-infected women. Fourth, although effect sizes are 0.11 or lower, the effect sizes for the interaction between serostatus and drug use are equal to or exceed the effect sizes associated with HIV serostatus alone or drug use alone. Fifth, only 2 neurocognitive tests were administered, so we could not evaluate effects across a broader spectrum of cognitive domains. Lastly, the cross-sectional design of this study precludes the possibility of examining causality. We presume that illicit drug use leads to poor memory performance, but it is possible that learning and memory deficits preceded drug use for at least some women. The last 2 limitations are being now addressed with the collection of longitudinal cognitive data in the WIHS.
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