Pegylated Interferon Alfa-2a Monotherapy Results in Suppression of HIV Type 1 Replication and Decreased Cell-Associated HIV DNA Integration
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Background. Antiretroviral therapy (ART)–mediated immune reconstitution fails to restore the capacity of the immune system to spontaneously control human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) replication.

Methods. A total of 23 HIV type 1 (HIV-1)–infected, virologically suppressed subjects receiving ART (CD4+ T-cell count, >450 cells/μL) were randomly assigned to have 180 μg/week (for arm A) or 90 μg/week (for arm B) of pegylated (Peg) interferon alfa-2a added to their current ART regimen. After 5 weeks, ART was interrupted, and Peg–interferon alfa-2a was continued for up to 12 weeks (the primary end point), with an option to continue to 24 weeks. End points included virologic failure (viral load, ≥400 copies/mL) and adverse events. Residual viral load and HIV-1 DNA integration were also assessed.

Results. At week 12 of Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy, viral suppression was observed in 9 of 20 subjects (45%), a significantly greater proportion than expected (arm A, P = .0088; arm B, P = .0010; combined arms, P < .0001). Over 24 weeks, both arms had lower proportions of subjects who had viral load, compared with the proportion of subjects in a historical control group (arm A, P = .0046; arm B, P = .0011). Subjects who had a sustained viral load of <400 copies/mL had decreased levels of integrated HIV DNA (P = .0313) but increased residual viral loads (P = .0078), compared with subjects who experienced end-point failure.

Conclusions. Peg–interferon alfa-2a immunotherapy resulted in control of HIV replication and decreased HIV-1 integration, supporting a role for immunomediated approaches in HIV suppression and/or eradication.
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intensive investigation, no strategy so far has resulted in sustained control of HIV in the absence of antiretroviral therapy.

Interferon α belongs to a family of type 1 interferons produced by dendritic and others cells as part of the host’s Toll-like receptor–mediated antiviral response [6]. While interferon-mediated gene expression is increased in advanced HIV disease [7], the role of this innate response (ie, viral control mechanism vs chronic activation mediator contributing to disease progression) remains under debate [8–10]. The results of several clinical trials support a predominantly antiviral activity (ie, approximately 0.5 log decrease in plasma viral load) when interferon alfa is administered to HIV-1–infected persons in the absence of ART [11–14]. However, in this setting interferon alfa is not completely suppressive, possibly because of the deterioration of immune system effectors due to the ongoing viral replication. The degree to which interferon alfa monotherapy may contribute to virus control (eg, suppression of residual replication) after ART-mediated immune reconstitution has not been tested.

Given the growing interest in identifying novel strategies aimed at controlling HIV in the absence of ART, we sought to establish a proof of concept that interferon alfa can suppress HIV replication in subjects in whom the detrimental effects of uncontrolled HIV replication on immune function have been partially reversed by ART.

METHODS

Study Design
Written informed consent was obtained from patients according to the directives of the institutional review boards at the Wistar Institute, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia FIGHT, and Drexel University. All human experimentation was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the US Department of Health and Human Services and those of the authors’ institutions. The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/; NCT00594880).

A schematic representation of the study is provided in Figure 1A, together with a CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) study subject disposition chart (Figure 1B). A total of 23 eligible subjects (all were in stable health, were receiving ART, had a plasma HIV RNA load of <50 copies/mL, had a current CD4+ T-cell count of >450 cells/μL, and had a nadir CD4+ T-cell count of >200 cells/μL) were randomized. Exclusion criteria included hepatitis C virus co-infection, active hepatitis B virus infection, a history of major depression or autoimmune diseases, a Framingham score of >15% (for men) or >10% (for women) for a 10-year risk for myocardial infarction, and retinal clouds on fundoscopic examination.

After an 8-week observation period, subjects were randomly assigned to receive either 180 μg/week (for arm A) or 90 μg/week (for arm B) of pegylated (Peg) interferon alfa-2a (Pegasys; Roche) in an open-label manner. After the first 5 weeks of treatment (study week 13), subjects had their ART regimen interrupted and continued to receive Peg–interferon alfa-2a weekly.

The prespecified primary end point was the proportion of subjects who maintained a viral load of <400 copies/mL after 12 weeks of Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy (study week 25). Primary end point failure was defined as 2 consecutive viral load measurements of ≥400 copies/mL, as a decrease in CD4+ T-cell count to <300 cells/μL, or as the presence of significant adverse events, including Patient Health Questionnaire 2/9 depression scores of >10. Subjects with a sustained viral load of <400 copies/mL at the primary end point were allowed to continue Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy for an additional 12 weeks (study week 37). All subjects restarted their prestudy ART regimen at the time of virologic rebound or treatment failure or by study week 37 and were then followed for an additional 12-week period.

Clinical Laboratory Testing and Immunoassays
All clinical laboratory tests were performed by Quest Diagnostics (Madison, NJ). Interferon alfa levels were assessed in cryopreserved plasma, using a cytometric bead array (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and were analyzed on a Luminex (Austin, TX) platform at the Center for AIDS Research Immunology Core at the University of Pennsylvania.

Ultrasensitive Amplification of HIV RNA in Plasma
Longitudinal plasma HIV RNA levels were measured using the Food and Drug Administration–approved isothermal transcription-mediated amplification assay (Aptima, Gen-Probe, San Diego, CA). This assay has a 50% detection limit of 3.6 RNA copies/mL when performed singly [15]. Data were collected in 5 replicates for each time point (1.5–2.5 mL plasma total), improving the overall limit of detection to <3.5 RNA copies/mL; means are reported. The output for each replicate is a signal/cutoff (S/Co) ratio (range, 0–30), with S/Co of <1.0 considered to be HIV RNA negative. S/Co levels were derived by averaging the S/Co values across replicates [16, 17].

Measures of Integrated HIV DNA
The integration assay was performed on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) as described in detail previously [18]. Briefly, Alu-gag–based polymerase chain reaction with repetitive sampling techniques was used to increase the sensitivity and accuracy of the assay in order to measure low levels of integration in patient samples. Our integration standard accounts for the effect of distance between integration sites and host-Alu sequences. Results are expressed as number of
integrated HIV DNA copies per number of CD4+ T cells, as follows: [number of copies of integrated HIV DNA per PBMC] × [(monocyte count + lymphocyte count)/(CD4+ T-cell count)].

Genotype Assessment
A total of $2 \times 10^6$ PBMCs were frozen in DNAzol (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH), and DNA was extracted as per the manufacturer’s protocol. HLA class I loci were genotyped by the sequence-based typing method, as recommended by the 13th International Histocompatibility Workshop (http://www.ihwg.org/tmanual/TMcontents.htm). Interleukin 28B (IL-28B) gene alleles were genotyped for the C or T allele at rs12979860, using a custom-designed TaqMan allelic discrimination assay (Applied Biosystems), as described elsewhere [19].

Statistical Analysis
Randomization Procedure
Subjects were randomly allocated 1:1 to receive either 90 or 180 μg/week of Peg–interferon alfa-2a with random block

Figure 1. Trial schema and subject disposition. A, Schema of the trial visit and relative activities (top row) during the 14 visits scheduled to occur over 49 weeks. Treatment is represented by dark grey boxes (pegylated [Peg] interferon alfa-2a at 180 or 90 μg/week), light grey boxes (antiretroviral therapy [ART], as previously treated), or white boxes (ART interruption, Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy). B, CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) flow diagram of the study subject disposition. PHQ2/9, Patient Health Questionnaire 2/9.
sizes. A Web-based system (http://www.CEDTweb.org) was used to sequentially assign randomization outcomes.

**Primary Analysis**

We evaluated whether immunotherapy with 180 or 90 μg/week of Peg–interferon alfa-2a maintained control of HIV replication (ie, for 12 weeks) in the absence of antiretroviral therapy to greater levels than observed in the absence of immunomodulatory therapy. On the basis of our previous studies, we expected that, at most, 9% of subjects would maintain a viral load of <400 copies/mL for 12 weeks in the absence of any immunomodulatory therapy [20–22]. A relatively high threshold of ≥400 copies/mL was used to define virologic failure in an effort to avoid classifying patients with transient viral blips as having virologic failure; such viral blips have been described for subjects receiving ART [23]. The primary analysis tested the null hypothesis that the proportion of individuals with a viral load of <400 copies/mL is equal to 0.09 against the 1-sided alternative that this proportion is >0.09, using a 1-sided exact binomial test with an α level of .05. The analysis was initially stratified by arm and then performed with combined arms. Subjects who withdrew consent or were lost to follow-up (n = 3) were excluded from the primary analysis; a sensitivity analysis including all subjects was also performed.

**Secondary Analyses**

We also evaluated whether immunotherapy with 180 or 90 μg/week of Peg–interferon alfa-2a maintained control of HIV replication at a viral load of <48 copies/mL (ie, for 12 weeks) in the absence of antiretroviral therapy, using the same approach as with the primary end point analysis described above and with an expected suppression rate of 3% observed in historical control subjects from a structured-treatment-interruption cohort in Philadelphia [20–22]. To determine control over time and on the basis of our previous studies, the proportion of subjects maintaining viral suppression at a viral load of <400 copies/mL over time was illustrated using a Kaplan-Meier plot and differences between study arms and the historical cohort [21] (7% female, 28% African American, and 7% Hispanic; mean [±SD] CD4⁺ T-cell count before interruption, 677 ± 224 cells/μL) were tested using a log-rank test. To address potential differences in CD4⁺ T-cell count distributions between cohorts, we fit a Cox proportional hazard model that controlled for CD4⁺ T-cell count at the time of interruption. Differences in medians between visits for the reported variables were assessed using the Wilcoxon signed rank test or the Fisher exact test. Differences in the levels of HIV integration between visits were tested using Wilcoxon signed rank tests, and differences in end point/baseline ratios between arms were tested using a Wilcoxon rank sum test.

**RESULTS**

**Participants Characteristics**

A total of 23 study participants were randomly assigned to one of the study arms in the 49-week study (Figure 1): 12 were assigned to arm A (180 μg/week), and 11 were assigned to arm B (90 μg/week). The study population included 19 men (83%) and 14 African Americans (61%) and had a median age of 45 years (interquartile range [IQR], 40–49 years). Across both arms, the median baseline CD4⁺ T-cell count was 840 cells/μL (IQR, 631–1112 cells/μL). Subject baseline characteristics are described in Table 1. No significant difference between arms was observed for baseline variables.

Polymorphisms in genes encoding for IL-28B and HLA alleles have been associated with the ability to respond to interferon alfa-based therapy during hepatitis C virus infection [19], to control HIV replication in the absence of ART [24], or to control HIV disease progression [25–29]. We did not detect any obvious enrichment in alleles analyzed in the subjects with sustained viral control (Table S1).

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Subjects With Human Immunodeficiency virus Infection Who Were Randomly Assigned to Receive One of Two Dosages of Pegylated Interferon Alfa-2a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Study Arm A (180 μg/week)</th>
<th>Study Arm B (90 μg/week)</th>
<th>Primary Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 12)</td>
<td>(n = 11)</td>
<td>Failure (n = 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>45.5 (42.8–48.5)</td>
<td>44 (32–49.5)</td>
<td>43 (36.5–50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ T-cell count (cells/μL)</td>
<td>945 (714.8–1210.8)</td>
<td>829 (581.5–891.5)</td>
<td>859 (736.5–1299.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (75)</td>
<td>10 (90.9)</td>
<td>9 (81.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>9 (75)</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
<td>6 (54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>6 (54.5)</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are median (interquartile range) or no. (%) of subjects.
Safety Assessments
Peg–interferon alfa-2a treatment can result in a number of known adverse events, among which the most prominent are depression, lymphocytopenia, neutropenia and liver toxicities. Three subjects had a Patient Health Questionnaire 2/9 score of >10, indicating moderate depression, and Peg–interferon alfa-2a therapy was discontinued. Although no subject had to discontinue the treatment because of a decrease in CD4+ T-cell count (Figure 2), an initial and expected drop during the first 5 weeks of Peg–interferon alfa-2a treatment was noted (median CD4+ T-cell count at week eight, 840 cells/μL [IQR, 630.5–1112]; median CD4+ T-cell count at week thirteen, 711 cells/μL [IQR, 558–1020]; \( P = .0004 \)). These levels remained stable over the subsequent monotherapy period. There was no relationship between initial CD4+ T-cell count decline and dose of Peg–interferon alfa-2a (\( P = .12 \)). One subject experienced grade 3 neutropenia while still receiving ART, resulting in study discontinuation.

Primary Analysis
Of the 23 subjects enrolled, 2 withdrew from the study before the end point (one was incarcerated, and one withdrew out of concern with protracted grade 2 liver function test results), and 1 was lost to follow-up after week 4, resulting in a sample size of 20 for the primary analysis. During the postrandomization period of Peg–interferon alfa-2a administration, 7 individuals exhibited virologic failure, 3 had moderate depression scores as revealed by the Patient Health Questionnaire 2/9, and 1 had a grade 3 neutropenia; all of these subjects were considered to have achieved end-point failure. Of the 20 subjects with end points by week 12 of Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy (study week 25), 9 subjects (45%) successfully maintained a viral load of <400 copies/mL. The proportion of individuals with a viral load of <400 copies/mL was greater than the anticipated proportion of 9% in either arm or combined (\( P=.0088 \) for arm A, \( P=.0010 \) for arm B, and \( P<.0001 \) for the combined arms, using the exact binomial test). As shown in Table 2, the proportion of subjects who achieved successful outcomes was not significantly different between the 2 arms (\( P = 1 \), by the Fisher exact test). We performed a sensitivity analysis that included all 23 randomized subjects, assigning a failure outcome to those who withdrew/were lost to follow-up: the exact binomial test \( P \) values were .0180 for arm A, .0017 for arm B, and <.0001 for the combined arms. Secondary analysis at the primary end point, using the <48 copies/mL threshold achieved by 4 of 20 subjects (20%), showed significant differences in both arms, compared with an anticipated rate of 3% based on reports in the literature (\( P=.03 \) for arm A, \( P=.0028 \) for arm B, and \( P<.0027 \) for the combined arms).

Table 2. Primary End Point Results for Subjects With Human Immunodeficiency virus Infection Who Were Randomly Assigned to Receive One of Two Dosages of Pegylated Interferon Alfa-2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Arm, No. (%)</th>
<th>A (180 μg/week)</th>
<th>B (90 μg/week)</th>
<th>Overall, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failure</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( P = 1 \), by the Fisher exact test, for differences in failure and success rates between arms A and B.
Plasma interferon alfa-2a concentrations were higher than baseline values in most subjects, with levels at week 5 slightly higher in arm A ($P = .0782$; data not shown) and no significant difference between subjects with treatment success or failure outcomes, suggesting that either dose tested can achieve drug levels associated with suppression.

**Assessment of Plasma HIV Levels During 24-Week Peg–Interferon Alfa-2a Monotherapy**

The 9 subjects with HIV levels controlled to <400 copies/mL at 12 weeks on Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy (4 of 9 had a sustained viral load of <48 copies/mL) were allowed to continue to receive monotherapy for another 12 weeks. One subject withdrew consent after week 12, resulting in 8 subjects followed for 24 weeks. As illustrated in Figure 3, 6 of these 8 subjects maintained a viral load of <400 copies/mL for the entire period (3 of 6 with a viral load of <48 copies/mL). A log-rank test performed using data over the 24-week period revealed that the rate in each arm of maintaining viral suppression was significantly different than that of historical controls ($P = .0046$ for arm A and $P = .0011$ for arm B, compared with an ART-interruption arm of historical control subjects [21]); accounting for baseline CD4+ T-cell count did not alter these findings.

**Detection of Residual HIV-1 RNA**

We measured plasma samples from subjects with a viral load of <400 copies/mL, using an ultrasensitive polymerase chain reaction technique able to detect 1–100 HIV RNA copies/mL [16, 30] (Table 3). First, we determined the effects of 5 weeks of Peg–interferon alfa-2a added to a suppressive ART regimen (viral load, <400 copies/mL at both time points) from all available subjects (n = 20).

Residual HIV plasma levels during ART (median S/Co value at week eight, 3.3 [IQR, 0.15–7.9]) were not significantly

---

**Table 3. Transcription-Mediated Amplification (TMA)–Based Assessment of Viral Replication During the Study Period Among Subjects With Human Immunodeficiency virus Infection, by Primary Outcome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>37</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA (S/Co)</td>
<td>4.6 (1.5–7.4)</td>
<td>5.3 (0.1–6.1)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.4–8)</td>
<td>20.9 (8.9–30.2)</td>
<td>22.4 (12–30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>Failure visit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMA (S/Co)</td>
<td>3.85 (2.5–7.6)</td>
<td>3 (1.9–9.8)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.1–4.3)</td>
<td>Not done*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR viral load (RNA copies/mL)</td>
<td>48 (48–126.5)</td>
<td>48 (48–48)</td>
<td>48 (48–48)</td>
<td>16 370 (5556–28 586)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table data are median (interquartile range) or no. of subjects.

**Abbreviations:** PCR, polymerase chain reaction; S/Co, signal/cutoff ratio.

*TMA was not done for individuals with a viral load of >400 copies/mL.

*One individual had a viral load of 486 copies/mL, but this was not confirmed because the individual withdrew from the study immediately after week 4.
different after 5 weeks of Peg–interferon alfa-2a (median S/Co value at week thirteen, 2.4 [IQR, 0.1–4.95]; \( P = .5619 \)).

Second, we sought to determine whether a change in residual viral load occurred in responders (<400 copies/mL) from the time of ART interruption to 12 or 24 weeks of Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy. The 9 responder subjects showed an increase in residual HIV RNA levels, from a median S/Co value of 2.6 (IQR, 1.4–8) before ART interruption (week 13) to a median S/Co value of 20.9 (IQR, 8.9–30.2) at the primary end point (week 25; \( P = .0078 \), by the Wilcoxon signed rank test). No change was detected between 12 weeks (S/Co value at week twenty-five, 9.2 [IQR, 4.9–26.5]) and 24 weeks (S/Co value at week thirty-seven, 22.4 [IQR, 6.1–31.5]; \( P = .625 \)) after ART interruption among the 6 subjects whose viral load remained at <400 copies/mL for 24 weeks despite not receiving ART.

**Evaluation of Integrated HIV DNA Copies Per CD4+ T Cell in Association With Treatment Success**

HIV persists during ART as integrated DNA in memory T cells and perhaps other cells. We compared the amount of integrated viral DNA present in PBMCs from 6 subjects who exhibited virologic failure and 7 who maintained viral suppression at week 12 (representing those subjects with primary end point success and with a sufficient PBMC yield to complete the analysis; Figure 4). Samples were studied at weeks 8, 13, and 25. The number of integrated HIV DNA copies per CD4+ T cell (Figure 4A) did not change significantly between baseline and the time of virologic failure in the 6 subjects who had virologic failure. In contrast, the number of integrated HIV DNA copies per CD4+ T cell between baseline and the primary end point declined significantly in the 7 subjects who exhibited durable viral control (mean baseline value \([ \pm SD \]) \( \times 10^{-4} \) copies/CD4+ T cell; mean end point value \([ \pm SD \]) \( \times 10^{-4} \) copies/CD4+ T cell; \( P = .0313 \)). The ratio of integrated HIV DNA copies/CD4+ T cell between end point and baseline was also significantly lower in subjects sustaining viral control (Figure 4B; \( P = .0223 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

We report that administration of Peg–interferon alfa-2a (90 or 180 \( \mu \)g/week) to individuals with an ART-suppressed HIV RNA load results in a sustained control of viral replication in 45% of subjects when ART is interrupted. The observed response rate was significantly greater than that reported in trials evaluating ART interruption alone and remained significant when including all randomized subjects (39% suppression).

Prior approaches based on interferon alfa administration without ART (ie, in treatment-naive subjects or subjects with ART interruption) have failed to achieve similar suppression rates \([12, 14]\). Differences in study design might have contributed to this disparity. We started Peg–interferon alfa-2a treatment in subjects receiving suppressive ART (viral load, <50

---

**Figure 4.** Assessment of integrated HIV DNA levels per circulating CD4+ T cell. A, Integrated HIV DNA was measured by Alu–polymerase chain reaction, as described in the Methods section. The number of integrated HIV DNA copies/CD4+ T cell are represented. The left panel shows 6 subjects experiencing protocol failure (viral load, \( \geq 400 \) HIV RNA copies/mL) before the 12-week end point. Assessed are protocol week 8 (antiretroviral therapy [ART]), week 13 (ART + Peg–interferon alfa-2a), and after failure (after ART resumption). The right panel shows 7 subjects experiencing protocol-defined success (a sustained viral load of <400 copies/mL until the 12-week end point). Assessed are protocol week 8 (ART), week 13 (ART + Peg–interferon alfa-2a; subject 1 = not done), and week 25 (Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy), as indicated. \( P \) values calculated by the Wilcoxon signed rank test are indicated. B, Boxes represent the median, quartiles, and extremes of the distribution of end point:baseline ratios of integrated HIV DNA copies/CD4+ T cell in subjects with virological failure or success. Individual values are superimposed as open circles. A \( P \) value calculated by the Wilcoxon rank sum test is indicated.
It will be important for future studies to determine whether Peg–interferon alfa-2a treatment can stabilize the viral set point to these low levels, sustaining viral control over time. Of the 8 subjects who elected to continue monotherapy past the primary end point to week 24 (9 subjects were eligible), 6 had a viral load of <400 copies/mL at week 48 (3 of 8 had a viral load of <248 copies/mL), supporting the view that in some individuals interferon alfa-mediated immune control may be extended beyond 24 weeks. Interestingly, 2 of the 6 subjects with a viral load of <400 copies had a stable set point between 50 and 400 copies/mL throughout the 24 weeks of monotherapy.

We did not observe any rise in integrated HIV DNA levels in patients in whom the viral load was not controlled to <400 copies/mL. This was unexpected and may indicate delayed kinetics of reservoir change after ART interruption and viremic rebound; importantly, in our study the viremic episodes were of short duration, since our patients restarted ART as soon as their viral load was confirmed to be <400 copies/mL. Our data suggest that future studies aimed at determining the kinetics of CD4⁺ T cell–integrated HIV DNA rebound may require longer periods of ART interruption with sustained viremia.

Overall, our observations are consistent with interferon alfa contributing to long-term control despite residual viral replication, as observed in “elite” suppressors (ie, individuals sustaining low-level viremia without ART [16, 17]). Notably, the latter individuals also preserve functional circulating plasmacytoid dendritic cells better than chronic progressors do [38, 39], and they have low levels of viral integration [18], supporting the hypothesis that type 1 interferon–mediated mechanisms contribute to HIV control in vivo.

Our study has some limitations. First, the original design (including a period of ART interruption without Peg–interferon alfa-2a for each subject, providing for the determination of individual viral set points) was modified at the request of the Food and Drug Administration in the course of the Investigational New Drug submission, on the basis of the results of the SMART study [20], which showed that CD4⁺ T cell–guided sexually transmitted infections are associated with disease progression, and on the basis of extensive literature reporting viral rebound following ART interruption in >95% of subjects. To address this limitation, we compared the proportion of failures in each arm with an expected rate obtained from prior studies [20–22, 40–43], and we elected to use an estimated proportion of subjects with sustained viral suppression (9%) that was higher than the proportions reported in similar populations. In a secondary analysis, we also compared (using a log-rank test) each study arm to historical cohort of control subjects for whom ART was interrupted, confirming that the 2 arms had significantly higher rates of suppression than the ART-interruption group, even after adjustment for initial CD4⁺ T-cell levels. A second limitation is the unavailability of many pre-ART viral loads (most subjects received long-time treatment with antiretroviral therapy).
ART from multiple providers), which prevented us from directly assessing the relationship between viral set points before ART and those during Peg–interferon alfa-2a monotherapy. However, we did establish that our study group did not include an overrepresentation of individuals with HLA and KIR alleles associated with HIV control. Finally, because our study cohort represents a proof-of-concept study with a limited sample size, it will be important to confirm our results in future, larger longitudinal studies.

In conclusion, we report that treatment with 90 or 180 μg/week of Peg–interferon α2a can support viral control and reduction of peripheral HIV integration levels in subjects for whom ART has been interrupted. Our study provides a proof of concept that immunotherapy can be pursued in HIV-infected, ART-dependent subjects to reach a status of viral control beyond ART and could complement current research approaches to current “functional cure” and eradication.

**Supplementary Data**

Supplementary materials are available at The Journal of Infectious Diseases online (http://jid.oxfordjournals.org/). Supplementary materials consist of data provided by the author that are published to benefit the reader. The posted materials are not copyrighted. The contents of all supplementary data are the sole responsibility of the authors. Questions or messages regarding errors should be addressed to the author.
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