From One Syndrome to Many: Incorporating Geriatric Consultation into HIV Care

Harjot K. Singh MD, ScM¹, Tessa Del Carmen MD², Ryann Freeman MSW^{2,3}, Marshall J.

Glesby MD PhD¹, Eugenia L. Siegler MD²

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, USA, ²Division of

Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, USA, ³ACRIA,

Center on HIV and Aging, New York, NY

Corresponding Author Contact:

Harjot K. Singh, MD

Center for Special Studies

Division of Infectious Diseases

Weill Cornell Medical College

525 East 68th Street

New York, NY 10065

Tel: 212 746-4180

Fax: 212 746-8415

Email: has9032@med.cornell.edu

Running Title: Geriatric Consults in HIV/Aging

40-word summary: Geriatricians can help HIV providers meet the needs of an aging HIV

population. In this article, we summarize the evidence for this approach and describe several

methods of incorporating it into an HIV clinic.

Abstract

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Antiretroviral therapy has enabled people to live long lives with HIV. As a result, most HIV-

infected adults in the US are over 50. In light of this changing epidemiology, HIV providers must

recognize and manage multiple comorbidities and aging-related syndromes. Geriatric principles

can help meet this new challenge, as preservation of function and optimization of social and

psychological health are relevant to the care of aging HIV-infected adults, even those who are

not yet old. Nonetheless, the field is still in its infancy. Although other subspecialties have

started to explore the role of geriatricians, little is known about their role in HIV care, and few

clinics have incorporated geriatricians. This paper introduces basic geriatric nomenclature and

principles, examines several geriatric consultation models from other subspecialties, and

describes our HIV and Aging clinical program to encourage investigation of best practices for

the care of this population.

KEY WORDS: Geriatric Consultation, HIV, Aging, NYC

2

Introduction

Survival among HIV-infected adults has dramatically improved with the introduction of effective antiretroviral therapy. Modeling now suggests near normal longevity, especially for those who did not acquire HIV via injection drug use and who have restored or maintained CD4 counts [1]. Recent models from the Netherlands predict that >70% of HIV-infected patients will be 50 years of age or older by 2030.[2] That same study estimates that 28% of HIV-infected patients in 2030 will have at least three age-related comorbidities [2]. In addition to multiple comorbidities (multimorbidity), the aging HIV-infected population is at risk for geriatric (henceforth termed aging-related) syndromes, such as frailty, falls, delirium, and functional impairment [3].

While the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's original designation of Acquired Immune Deficiency *Syndrome* (AIDS) in 1982 was based on the occurrence of "a disease, at least moderately predictive of a defect in cell-mediated immunity, occurring in a person with no known cause for diminished resistance to that disease" [4], AIDS is now defined by the occurrence of opportunistic illness or nadir CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ in a host with HIV infection. The term AIDS has become anachronistic; its etiology understood, it is no longer a syndrome per se. Instead, as most persons with HIV infection are living longer lives, they are developing not only medical comorbidities but also *multiple*, syndromes related to aging.

These aging-related syndromes and multimorbidity - common to elderly patients and well understood by geriatricians - may go unrecognized by HIV providers. To date, there is no formal guidance on incorporating assessment and care for these problems among HIV-infected adults. Preventive health care poses similar dilemmas. Cancer screening, for example, is now part of the primary care of all HIV-infected patients, but there are no guidelines on when or whether to stop preventive screening.

How can geriatric principles assist with the health care of this population? Awareness of these problems has increased, and there are now regional and international scientific and

clinical conferences on HIV and aging, but clinical care recommendations are still largely based on expert opinion. This article will review the principles of aging with HIV and then examine the literature of geriatric consultation for corroborating evidence to support geriatric input in the care of people aging with HIV. We will conclude with issues to consider when incorporating geriatric consultation into the care of this population.

The Importance of Geriatric Principles

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has controlled HIV infection and improved quantity of life; the primary care of adults with HIV infection has become more complicated as they live longer with other, often multiple comorbidities [5]. Management of multiple aging-related syndromes and comorbidities may require far more of the clinician's time and attention than the HIV infection itself. People aging with HIV are at risk for a diminished quality of life [6, 7]; even though they are not chronologically geriatric, they may benefit from a geriatric approach to evaluating and maintaining functional status.

How HIV infection itself affects aging itself is a controversial topic. The debate centers on whether HIV speeds up aging processes through established mechanisms for aging in general or whether HIV infection is an additive or synergistic risk factor [8]. Arguments for the biologic plausibility of HIV causing accelerated aging typically draw parallels between the pathophysiology of treated HIV infection and aging in general, including the prognostic significance of low CD4:CD8 ratios, potential shared immunosenescence phenotypes, and the roles of co-infections such as CMV. A detailed discussion of this controversial topic is beyond the scope of this paper and has been reviewed elsewhere [8-10]. Other approaches, such as through epigenetic analysis, are also being used to try to answer the question of whether HIV accelerates aging [11, 12].

Because the vocabulary of geriatrics and gerontology can be confusing, Table 1 provides definitions of commonly used terms. Several principles are noteworthy:

- Aging cannot be defined or measured solely by the presence of disease. That is not to say that aging and disease are entirely distinct, but rather that it may be deceptive to ascribe comorbidities to aging, or in the case of HIV infection, attribute increased prevalence of comorbidities to "accelerated" or "accentuated" aging. [section deleted]
- The impact of multimorbidity is not the same as that of adding the impact of multiple individual comorbidities. Clinical practice guidelines are designed for individual diseases and are often inappropriate/unfeasible for individuals with multimorbidity [13]; optimizing therapies in a patient with multimorbidity not only requires examination of the clinical evidence but must also take patient preferences, prognosis, and clinical feasibility into account [14].
- Aging-related (geriatric) syndromes are distinct from classic medical syndromes [15, 16]. They are common and often seen in combination. Examples include frailty or functional decline, which are distinct from specific motor or sensorineural losses. These syndromes, rather than comorbidity, are often the primary focus of geriatric evaluation and interventions.
- Aging-related syndromes can be seen among HIV-infected adults before they are chronologically elderly [3]. We recommend using the term aging-related to increase the likelihood that providers and patients will appreciate their relevance. This is essential, as these kinds of syndromes often frame the management of the older patient who may simultaneously have several comorbidities.

Caring for people aging with HIV has required negotiating several clinical challenges.

The first is the predominance of non-AIDS defining comorbidities like cardiac disease, renal impairment, and non-HIV malignancies as causes of chronic illness and mortality [17], leading to an increase in subspecialty consultation (e.g., cardiology, nephrology, oncology) to co-manage

the HIV-infected patient. The second challenge is the increased prevalence of multimorbidity, which requires coordination and prioritization of subspecialty care. The third is the high prevalence of aging-related syndromes and the need for geriatric care, even in those who are well below 65 years of age [18]. Clinical management requires preparing patients in their 50s for healthy aging (as a way of trying to forestall or prevent these syndromes) as well as assessment and care of those who have aging-related syndromes [5].

Recognizing these new crossroads in the field of HIV medicine, the American Geriatrics Society, American Academy of HIV Medicine, and ACRIA first published a set of guidelines in 2011 to address the management of the aging HIV population [19]. Since then, the major American HIV treatment guideline groups - the Department of Health and Human Services, and International Antiviral Society-USA have added in small sections on aging as well [20, 21] However, the majority of the guidelines (although not all, e.g., [22]), remain organ-based and do not address the methodology and value of geriatric consultation head-on.

Geriatric Evaluation and Consultation in Other Settings

The history of comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) began with Marjorie Warren, who in the mid-twentieth century devised a way to triage chronically ill neglected inpatients in a hospital by creating the first geriatric assessment/treatment team. She systematically evaluated patients to determine who would benefit from medical intervention or rehabilitation efforts and was able to discharge one-third of over 700 inpatient "incurables" to either home or to a residential facility [23]. Over the ensuing decades, CGA has been updated to include multiple domains (Table 2) encompassing biomedical, social, and economic concerns.

CGA has been studied both as a primary, hospital-based program and as an outpatient consultative service (integrated or separate) to other subspecialties of medicine such as cardiology [24], nephrology [25, 26], and oncology [27]. The evidence behind the effectiveness of CGA is mixed; it has resulted in improved outcomes or no effect [deleted text]. Geriatric

evaluation has proven most valuable in inpatient settings. It has also helped clinicians prognosticate and identify problems that are often overlooked in standard medical visits. Table 3 includes recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies in the general population examining the feasibility of geriatric assessment in both the outpatient and inpatient settings and the impact of CGA on treatment decision-making and outcomes (mortality and hospitalization).

CGA often provides important information when counseling about goals of care and determining the role of prevention. Eprognosis, a web-based prognostic tool, has aggregated and assessed a number of prognostic calculators for the general older population specific to location (e.g., community, nursing home) and time frame (http://eprognosis.ucsf.edu/bubbleview.php). While these calculators have not been validated specifically for HIV-infected persons, there is one mortality predictor that has been validated in the HIV population, the Veterans Aging Cohort Study VACS calculator (https://vacs-apps2.med.yale.edu/calculator) [28]. While prognostically useful, the VACS calculator is not descriptive; it does not incorporate function, cognition, or direct measures of all components of multimorbidity.

The literature on geriatric consultation in other subspecialties can provide some inferences about the feasibility and usefulness of CGA. Examples of clinical models relevant to HIV care include outpatient consultative, outpatient integrative, inpatient consultation, or CGA by primary care teams as illustrated by the following representative examples.

Referral to geriatric clinic: Kalsi et al. created an intervention where oncology patients aged 70 and older completed a screening questionnaire, and those found to be at high risk (or who were referred directly by their physician) underwent CGA by a geriatric consultant in an outpatient clinic prior to initiating chemotherapy. This model was evaluated in a non-randomized, prospective cohort study (N=135), and patients in the intervention group were more likely than controls to complete cancer treatment [27]. A prescreen model has been used

for outpatient aging HIV patients; Ruiz and Cefalu used a CGA screen to identify appropriate patients for referral to a Geriatric-HIV program [29].

Assessment within the practice: Hall et al. compared two models of geriatric assessment within Veterans Affairs outpatient nephrology clinics. In the first model, an embedded geriatrician conducted CGA; in the second model a nephrologist with 16 hours of geriatric training or a nurse practitioner dually certified in gerontology and nephrology performed the assessments. In both models, geriatric assessment was able to identify high-impact problems like cognitive impairment, functional impairment, and difficulty with IADLs. The authors concluded that a geriatrician's treatment recommendations were necessary when nephrologists had limited experience, but that with limited training in geriatrics, nephrologists could learn how to use the basic CGA tools on their own. The authors also felt that CGA assisted in guiding care of chronic kidney disease and decisions about dialysis both by uncovering functional and cognitive problems and by identifying those who were aging well [25].

Assessment in the home: Parlevliet and coworkers described a model where a nurse performed CGA on 50 patients on dialysis who were age ≥65 years. After completing a chart review-based screening and sending questionnaires to be filled out by the patient and primary caregiver, the nurse then visited the patient's home and completed the CGA. Approximately 33% were found to be malnourished and 25% were depressed and/or in pain. Almost 60% had at least one IADL impairment. This model, while time consuming, has the advantage of not requiring a geriatrician, but may not be feasible for people who do not live close to the office and do not have caregivers who can assist with the completion of forms [26].

HIV providers with limited time and geriatric knowledge focus primarily on comorbidity, antiretroviral management, and preventive care during routine visits. While meta-analyses

described in Table 3 suggest there is value to geriatric consultation, and the above studies demonstrate feasibility of different consultation models, we do not yet know how to extrapolate these results for people aging with HIV. These populations all have serious, chronic illness in common, and it is not unreasonable to expect that CGA in people aging with HIV will uncover aging-related syndromes, prognostic information, and overlooked comorbidities, and in so doing, improve the quality of care. There are a few HIV and Aging clinical programs with published data [3, 29], but no trials that examine CGA's effectiveness in this population. With so few current geriatric models, experience with multiple programs is needed to determine the optimal approach.

Challenges to the Geriatric Approach

Just as there is a shortage of well-trained HIV providers [30], the supply of geriatricians is insufficient [14]. As of 2012, there were 7,428 board certified geriatricians in the U.S [14]. The first hurdle to creating a program is finding a geriatrician who has time, interest, and salary support to work in an HIV practice. Moreover, because many HIV-infected adults already see a multitude of specialists, the addition of yet another provider might be overwhelming to the patient or even appear to undermine the primary care provider. The mere presence of a geriatrician is no guarantee that people aging with HIV will receive adequate care. Lee et al. documented that even a geriatric primary care clinic focused on memory disorders found that constraints on time and resources limited their ability to manage aging-related syndromes and multimorbidity [31]. This may imply that CGA is not enough: training, collaborative structure, and access to resources are also needed to optimize care for aging adults.

Incorporating Geriatrics into HIV Care

When incorporating geriatrics into HIV medicine, defining the role of the geriatrician and ensuring buy-in from the primary care providers will maximize benefit and avoid additional risks

to the patient from lack of coordination of care. Bringing the geriatrician to the HIV clinic is feasible. We have embedded geriatricians in a longstanding HIV clinic that already includes social work, nursing, psychiatry, gynecology, and substance abuse counseling. The text box describes the program. Ours is specific to New York City, but the approach to needs assessment, community engagement, and training could be applied to other programs. These are some of the factors that HIV centers should take into account:

- Needs assessment of patients: How do patients feel about aging? What regional, national, or cultural needs should be explored and taken into account?
- Needs assessment of care providers: What do staff want to learn about aging and geriatrics? How do they want to work with the geriatricians? Will they require extra inservices or back-up from gerontological nurse practitioners or social workers?
- Choosing patients for consultation: Will there be a minimum age for consultation?
 Who will take priority? How will primary providers be reminded to refer? Will patients from outside your clinical program be recruited to see the geriatrician?
- Clarifying the role of the geriatrician: Will the geriatricians see inpatients in addition to outpatients? Should the geriatrician provide palliative care in addition to CGA?
- Space concerns: Where will the geriatrician see patients? How often?
- Determination of workflow. How will the geriatrician communicate findings and recommendations? How will the clinic staff and physicians give feedback to the geriatricians? How will the geriatrician interface with the subspecialists?
- Salary support: How will the physician bill? Is there foundation or institutional support for salaries?
- "Advertising" the program: Once the program begins, how will patients and providers learn about it? How will the aging program and services be publicized?
- Collaborating with community agencies: How will the staff reach out to other community-based organizations? How will they choose the most effective partners?

Creating non-clinical programs for patients aging with HIV: Will there be over-50 support groups and/or buddy programs? Are patients asking for specific programs, like arts- or exercise-based series? How will they be funded?

Conclusion

With continued improvements in ART, the HIV population is growing older and aging. Although recognizing and optimizing aging-related syndromes and comorbidities are the keys to ensuring patients' quality of life, HIV care providers face time constraints and lack training in geriatric assessment. Other subspecialties have successfully adopted comprehensive geriatric assessment, and HIV care can and should do the same. We have developed one such program that may improve the functional care of our aging HIV patients, and we encourage others to create geriatrics programs that take their patients' and clinical sites' needs into account. With time, we can determine the best practices to serve our patients.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the patients and leadership at the Center for Special Studies for embracing this HIV and Aging program.

Funding

The work by Dr. Eugenia Siegler, Dr. Harjot K. Singh, and Ryann Freeman was supported by the Fan Fox and Leslie R. Samuels Foundation.

Disclosures

The authors have no reported conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Costagliola D. Demographics of HIV and aging. Curr Opin HIV AIDS **2014**; 9(4): 294-301.
- 2. Smit M, Brinkman K, Geerlings S, et al. Future challenges for clinical care of an ageing population infected with HIV: a modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis **2015**; 15(7): 810-8.
- 3. Greene M, Covinsky KE, Valcour V, et al. Geriatric Syndromes in Older HIV-Infected Adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2015**; 69(2): 161-7.
- 4. Update on acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS)--United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **1982**; 31(37): 507-8, 13-4.
- 5. Althoff KN, Smit M, Reiss P, Justice AC. HIV and ageing: improving quantity and quality of life. Curr Opin HIV AIDS **2016**; 11(5): 527-36.
- 6. Doyle K, Weber E, Atkinson JH, Grant I, Woods SP. Aging, prospective memory, and health-related quality of life in HIV infection. AIDS Behav **2012**; 16(8): 2309-18.
- 7. Rodriguez-Penney AT, Iudicello JE, Riggs PK, et al. Co-morbidities in persons infected with HIV: increased burden with older age and negative effects on health-related quality of life. AIDS Patient Care STDS **2013**; 27(1): 5-16.
- 8. Pathai S, Bajillan H, Landay AL, High KP. Is HIV a model of accelerated or accentuated aging? J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci **2014**; 69(7): 833-42.
- Hunt PW, Lee SA, Siedner MJ. Immunologic Biomarkers, Morbidity, and Mortality in Treated HIV Infection. J Infect Dis 2016; 214 Suppl 2: S44-50.
- 10. Appay V, Kelleher AD. Immune activation and immune aging in HIV infection. Curr Opin HIV AIDS **2016**; 11(2): 242-9.
- Gross AM, Jaeger PA, Kreisberg JF, et al. Methylome-wide Analysis of Chronic HIV Infection Reveals Five-Year Increase in Biological Age and Epigenetic Targeting of HLA. Mol Cell 2016; 62(2): 157-68.
- 12. Rickabaugh TM, Baxter RM, Sehl M, et al. Acceleration of age-associated methylation patterns in HIV-1-infected adults. PLoS One **2015**; 10(3): e0119201.
- 13. Boyd, CM FM. Future of Multimorbidity Research: How Should Understanding of Multimorbidity Inform Health System Design? Public Health Reviews **2010**; (32): 451-74.
- 14. Guiding principles for the care of older adults with multimorbidity: an approach for clinicians: American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on the Care of Older Adults with Multimorbidity. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; 60(10): E1-e25.
- 15. Olde Rikkert MG, Rigaud AS, van Hoeyweghen RJ, de Graaf J. Geriatric syndromes: medical misnomer or progress in geriatrics? Neth J Med **2003**; 61(3): 83-7.

- 16. Inouye SK, Studenski S, Tinetti ME, Kuchel GA. Geriatric syndromes: clinical, research, and policy implications of a core geriatric concept. J Am Geriatr Soc **2007**; 55(5): 780-91.
- 17. Smith CJ, Ryom L, Weber R, et al. Trends in underlying causes of death in people with HIV from 1999 to 2011 (D:A:D): a multicohort collaboration. Lancet **2014**; 384(9939): 241-8.
- 18. Sangarlangkarn A, Appelbaum JS. Caring for Older Adults with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus. J Am Geriatr Soc **2016**; 64(11): 2322-9.
- 19. The HIV and Aging Consensus Project: Recommended Treatment Strategies for Clinicians Managing Older Patients with HIV. Available at: http://www.aahivm.org/upload_module/upload/hiv%20and%20aging/aging%20report%2 Oworking%20document%20final%2012.1.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2016.
- 20. Department of H, Human S. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents. **2015**.
- 21. Gunthard HF, Saag MS, Benson CA, et al. Antiretroviral Drugs for Treatment and Prevention of HIV Infection in Adults: 2016 Recommendations of the International Antiviral Society-USA Panel. Jama **2016**; 316(2): 191-210.
- 22. Società Italiana di Malattie Infettive e Tropicali (SIMIT) SIdMIeT. Linee Guida. Italiane sull'utilizzo dei farmaci antiretrovirali e sulla gestione iagnostico-clinica delle persone con infezione da HIV-1 (pp 99-100).[Italian Society of Infectious and Tropical Diseases (SIMIT) SIdMIeT. Guidelines. Italian on the use of antiretroviral drugs and iagnostico-clinical management of people with HIV-1 infection]. Available at: http://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_pubblicazioni_2545_allegato.pdf. Accessed January 24, 2017.
- 23. Warren MW. Care of Chronic Sick. Br Med J **1943**; 2(4329): 822-3.
- 24. Alegre O, Ariza-Sole A, Vidan MT, et al. Impact of Frailty and Other Geriatric Syndromes on Clinical Management and Outcomes in Elderly Patients With Non-ST-Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes: Rationale and Design of the LONGEVO-SCA Registry. Clin Cardiol 2016; 39(7): 373-7.
- 25. Hall RK, Haines C, Gorbatkin SM, et al. Incorporating Geriatric Assessment into a Nephrology Clinic: Preliminary Data from Two Models of Care. J Am Geriatr Soc 2016; 64(10): 2154-8.

- 26. Parlevliet JL, Buurman BM, Pannekeet MM, et al. Systematic comprehensive geriatric assessment in elderly patients on chronic dialysis: a cross-sectional comparative and feasibility study. BMC Nephrol **2012**; 13: 30.
- 27. Kalsi T, Babic-Illman G, Ross PJ, et al. The impact of comprehensive geriatric assessment interventions on tolerance to chemotherapy in older people. Br J Cancer **2015**; 112(9): 1435-44.
- 28. Justice AC, Modur SP, Tate JP, et al. Predictive accuracy of the Veterans Aging Cohort Study index for mortality with HIV infection: a North American cross cohort analysis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2013**; 62(2): 149-63.
- 29. Ruiz M, Cefalu C. Characteristics of Frail Patients in a Geriatric-HIV Program: The Experience of an Urban Academic Center at One Year Follow-Up. J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic) **2011**; 10(3): 138-43.
- Gatty B. HIV Specialist:In Crisis: The HIV Workforce. Available at: https://www.aahivm.org/HIV_Specialist/upload/FINAL%20MARCH%202016.pdf. Accessed 11/20/16.
- 31. Lee L, Heckman G, McKelvie R, Jong P, D'Elia T, Hillier LM. Physicians' perceptions of capacity building for managing chronic disease in seniors using integrated interprofessional care models. Can Fam Physician **2015**; 61(3): e148-57.
- 32. Lopez-Otin C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. The hallmarks of aging. Cell **2013**; 153(6): 1194-217.
- 33. Piggott DA, Erlandson KM, Yarasheski KE. Frailty in HIV: Epidemiology, Biology, Measurement, Interventions, and Research Needs. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep **2016**.
- 34. Wallace M, Shelkey M. Katz Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living. Available at: https://clas.uiowa.edu/sites/clas.uiowa.edu.socialwork/files/NursingHomeResource/documents/Katz%20ADL_LawtonIADL.pdf. Accessed November 1, 2016.
- 35. Caillet P, Laurent M, Bastuji-Garin S, et al. Optimal management of elderly cancer patients: usefulness of the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment. Clin Interv Aging **2014**; 9: 1645-60.
- 36. Hamaker ME, Mitrovic M, Stauder R. The G8 screening tool detects relevant geriatric impairments and predicts survival in elderly patients with a haematological malignancy. Ann Hematol **2014**; 93(6): 1031-40.

- 37. Kallenberg MH, Kleinveld HA, Dekker FW, et al. Functional and Cognitive Impairment, Frailty, and Adverse Health Outcomes in Older Patients Reaching ESRD-A Systematic Review. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol **2016**; 11(9): 1624-39.
- 38. Waaijenborg S, Hahne SJ, Mollema L, et al. Waning of maternal antibodies against measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella in communities with contrasting vaccination coverage. The Journal of infectious diseases **2013**; 208(1): 10-6.
- 39. Smith SM, Wallace E, O'Dowd T, Fortin M. Interventions for improving outcomes in patients with multimorbidity in primary care and community settings. Cochrane Database Syst Rev **2016**; 3: Cd006560.
- 40. Ellis G, Whitehead MA, O'Neill D, Langhorne P, Robinson D. Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. Cochrane Database Syst Rev **2011**; (7): Cd006211.

TABLE 1. Aging- Related Vocabulary			
Vocabulary	Definitions		
Aging	A process that "is characterized by a progressive loss of physiological integrity, leading to impaired function and increased vulnerability to death" [32].		
Multimorbidity	"The co-existence of two or more chronic conditions, where one is not necessarily more central than the others" [13].		
Syndrome	A clinical condition that (unlike a disease) is of unknown etiology and is "mostly defined by a complex and often non-fixed combination of clinical signs and symptoms" [15]. The classic definition emphasizes its rarity, mystery, and multiplicity of presentations.		
Geriatric or Aging-related Syndromes	"Clinical conditions in older persons that do not fit into discrete disease categories" and instead "cross organ systems and discipline-based boundaries."[16] How geriatric syndromes differ from classic syndromes[15]: They are common.		
	 They are often defined by a single symptom (e.g., urinary incontinence). 		
	 Single etiologies may precipitate multiple syndromes: Falls and delirium might herald pneumonia. 		
	 Individual syndromes may have multiple etiologies: delirium might be caused by an infection, dehydration, and/or a new medication in the setting of an underlying dementia. Older patients often have multiple geriatric syndromes at one time. 		
Frailty	One of the original geriatric syndromes, it is a state of diminished reserve and heightened vulnerability. Frailty has been conceptualized and measured both as a physical phenotype and as an accumulation of health deficits [33].		
Basic and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living	Basic Activities of Daily Living (ADL, sometimes BADL) are basic functional tasks like dressing, bathing, feeding, and transferring. Instrumental Activities of Daily living (IADL) represent higher order functions such as using the phone, shopping, managing medications and finances [34].		

Table 2: Components of Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment Basic Activities of Daily Living Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Frailty Nutritional Status Social Network and Financial Status Living Situation and Accessibility Affective Assessment Cognitive assessment Medical Comorbidities Medication Appropriateness Advance Directives

The HIV and Aging Program at New York-Presbyterian Hospital-Weill Cornell Medical Center was founded in 2014 to meet the needs of patients ages ≥50 years. The program is supported in part by foundation funding and has two goals. The first is to provide integrated geriatric care within the existing Center for Special Studies (CSS) HIV clinic sites. Two geriatricians offer weekly geriatric consultation alternating at our two clinical sites. They document in the outpatient electronic health record and attend outpatient interdisciplinary rounds at the end of the day where all patients seen that day are discussed. They communicate actively with the physicians, social workers, psychiatrists, and nutritionists to identify problems and problem-solve interventions. Inpatient consultation is also provided to clinic patients admitted to the hospital.

The second goal is to provide patient-driven education and program opportunities both within and outside of the clinic, as determined by a focus group-based needs assessment. [deleted text] The program offers Gold Stars, an internal social worker-driven support group that focuses on providing the space for socialization and general support while educating group participants on a variety of topics relevant to aging with HIV. In addition, the program has sponsored an arts program and links with other community-based groups to organize opportunities for patients to attend group dances and long-term survivor support groups.

Table 3. Examples of Geriatric Assessments in Other Subspecialties **Specialty Findings Reference and Methods Assessment Types** Caillet et al, 2014[35] Oncology Studies included at least 5 Aging-related syndromes and Systematic review of 29 comorbidities were identified that could domains of CGA prospective observational or interfere with treatment or lead to death. interventional studies Among a subgroup analysis of CGA, the authors estimated that that 21-49% of Age Group: ≥65 years treatment decisions might be affected by CGA – nutritional status and function having the strongest effect. Hematologic Hamaker et al, 2014 [36] Geriatric assessment of at least ADL impairments were present in more Systematic review of malignancies than 25%; IADL impairments in 44% and 2 domains; median number observational cohort studies of depression in nearly 33% of studies. assessed was 4 domains Physical function and nutritional status older patients. 18 publications from 15 studies were associated with mortality. Age Group: Median 73 years

Kallenberg et al, 2016[37] Systematic review of 30 longitudinal studies examining association between geriatric conditions and adverse outcomes. Age Group: 52-84.2 years	ESRD	Assessments of functional impairment, cognitive impairment and/or frailty	All three domains were associated with increase risk of mortality.
van Loon et al, 2016[38] Systematic review of 27 prospective studies assessing association of geriatric impairments with hospitalization or mortality in patients on or starting dialysis Age Group: 67-82 years	ESRD	At least one domain of geriatric assessment	Malnutrition, frailty, cognitive impairment, and functional impairment were associated with increased mortality. One study each links malnutrition, depression and poor performance status to hospitalization.
Smith et al, 2016[39] Cochrane meta-analysis of 18 RCTs of interventions Age Group: Adult	General outpatients with multimorbidity	Case Coordination or multidisciplinary teamwork; or management/education interventions	Heterogeneous interventions and targets Some evidence for improvement in mental health and functional outcomes, as well as patient and provider behaviors
Ellis et al, 2011[40] Cochrane meta-analysis of 22 RCTs of hospitalized adults Age Group: >65 years	General Inpatients	CGA by geriatric consultation teams or in geriatric units	Inpatient CGA reduces readmission, institutionalization, and mortality.

Abbreviations: ADLs, activities of daily living; CGA, comprehensive geriatric assessment; ESRD, end-stage renal disease;

RCT: randomized controlled trial