

# Real-world Impact of Resistance-Associated Substitutions on Retreatment After Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir Virologic Failure in Hepatitis C Patients

Lisa I. Backus, MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Pamela S. Belperio, PharmD<sup>1</sup>, Troy Shahoumian MPH, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Timothy P. Loomis PhD<sup>1</sup>, Mark A.Winters<sup>3</sup>, MS, Larry A. Mole, PharmD<sup>1</sup>, and Mark Holodniy, MD<sup>3,4</sup>

¹Office of Population Health, Veterans Affairs Palo Alto, CA, ¹VHA Public Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA, ¹VHA Public Health Reference Laboratory, Palo Alto, CA, ¹Division of Infectious Diseases & Geographic Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

#### BACKGROUND

- The US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is the largest provider of HCV care in the US and has treated over 113,000 Veterans wth direct acting antivirals
- More than 66,000 Veterans have received treatment with ledipasvir/ sofosbuvir (LDV/SOF)
- Pre-existing polymorphisms or drug resistance-associated substitutions (RAS) have been described in vitro and in clinical trials and are known to be associated with HCV regimen failure
- Understanding the real-world impact of RASs on re-treatment after LDV/SOF virologic failure in HCV-infected patients is necessary for informed treatment decisions

#### AIM

To evaluate the impact of RASs on SVR in HCV-infected patients retreated after LDV/SOF virologic failure

### METHODS

- Observational cohort analysis using the Veterans Affairs Hepatitis C Clinical Case Registry, an extract of the VA electronic medical record
- Inclusion: Genotype (GT) 1a patients who received at least 8 weeks of LDV/SOF by 30 June 2017, failed LDV/SOF treatment, went on to get re-treated with either LDV/SOF or another regimen, and completed retreatment by 31 January 2018
- RAS testing performed after LDV/SOF virologic failure and before retreatment
- RAS testing and retreatment regimen was at the discretion of the provider
- SVR was defined as HCV RNA below the limit of quantification ≥10 weeks after EOT
- Plasma samples were assayed at the VA Public Health Reference Laboratory (Palo Alto, CA USA)
- HCV RNA was extracted from plasma, and then RT-PCR amplified for the NS3, NS5A, and NS5B genes using HCV genotype-specific primers
- Sanger sequencing was performed on amplicons, and sequences were aligned to genotype-specific reference strains using Geneious software, and RASs were called based on publicly available information
- SVR data available through 30 September 2018

Contact information: lisa.backus@va.gov Disclosures: The authors have no personal or financial conflicts of interest

#### 439 GT1a patients had RAS testing after LDV/ SOF failure and prior to DAA retreatment

 The most frequent RASs found in each gene are listed in Table 1

Table 1. Summary of RASs Identified, by Gene

<u>NS3</u>	NS5A	NS5B	
234	419	159	
114	326	9	
48.7%	77.8%	5.7%	
120 (51.3%)	93 (22.2%)	150 (94.3%)	
RASs Found (n, RAS)			
<u>NS3</u>	<u>NS5A*</u>	<u>NS5B</u>	
101 Q80K	103 Q30R	3 S282T	
8 R155K	70 L31M	3 S556G	
6 D168E	55 Y93H	1 L159F	
5 T54S	41 Q30H	1 V321A	
5 V55A	38 Y93N	1 E446K	
2 V36M	26 H58P	1 Y448H	
1 V36L	26 Y93C		
1 V107I	16 M28T		
1 R155G	15 H58D		
	11 Q30E		
	10 M28V		
	9 K24R		
	8 Q30K; L31V		
	6 Q30L		
	5 M28A		
	234 114 48.7% 120 (51.3%)  RASS Found (  NS3  101 Q80K 8 R155K 6 D168E 5 T54S 5 V55A 2 V36M 1 V36L 1 V107I 1 R155G	234       419         114       326         48.7%       77.8%         120 (51.3%)       93 (22.2%)         RASs Found (n, RAS)         NS3       NS5A*         101 Q80K       103 Q30R         8 R155K       70 L31M         6 D168E       55 Y93H         5 V55A       38 Y93N         2 V36M       26 H58P         1 V36L       26 Y93C         1 V107I       16 M28T         1 R155G       15 H58D         11 Q30E       10 M28V         9 K24R       8 Q30K; L31V         6 Q30L	

 Key demographics: mean age, 63 years; male, 98%; African American, 44%; FIB-4>3.25, 35%; history of decompensation, 19%

The three most common re-treatment regimens:

— ELB/GRZ+SOF+RBV: 100 (23%) — VEL/SOF+RBV: 81 (18%) — VEL/SOF/VOX: 58 (13%)

Re-treatment duration:

— <12 weeks: 7.5% — 12 weeks: 48.7% — 16 weeks: 14.1% 26.7% — 24 weeks:

 The number of people with a documented RAS to the re-treatment regimen prior to initiation was NS3: 5, NS5A: 204, NS5B: 3 (Table 2)

## RESULTS

Table 2. Predicted Resistance to Components of Retreatment Regimen

Class	Component	# Receiving	# (%) with RAS to component	RAS(s) Identified
<b>NS3 Inhibitor</b>	Glecaprevir	24	0	
	Grazoprevir	149	4 (3%)	R155K
	Paritaprevir	5	1 (20%)	Q80K
	Simeprevir	49	0	
	Voxilaprevir	60	0	
<b>NS5A</b> Inhibitor	Elbasvir	149	104 (70%)	K24R, Q30H/K/L/R/Y, L31I/M/V, M28A/T/V, Y93C/H/N/S, H58D/P, H45R
	Ledipasvir	58	15 (26%)	L31M, K24R, Q30R, M28A/V, Q30H, Y93H/N
	Ombitasvir	5	1 (20%)	Q30E
	Velpatasvir	154	72 (47%)	Q30E/H/K/L/R/Y, L31I/M/V, H54R, H58D/P, Y93C/H/N/S, K24R, K31M, M28T/V
	Pibrentasvir	24	12 (50%)	H54R, Y93H/N, M28T/V, Q30H/R, H58D, L31M
NS5B Inhibitor	Dasabuvir	5	0	
	Sofosbuvir	373	3 (0.8%)	S282T, L159F, V321A

Table 3. SVR Rates by Regimen for Patients Retreated after LDV/SOF Failure, Based on the Presence of RASs to Any of the Three Genes or Only RASs for NS5A

Retreatment Regimen	SVR in those with  any resistance  testing	SVR in those  without resistance to the retreatment based on RASs	SVR in those with resistance to the retreatment based on RASs	SVR in those with  NS5A resistance  testing	SVR in those  without NS5A  resistance to the  NS5A retreatment inhibitor	SVR in those with  NS5A resistance  predicted to the  NS5A retreatment  inhibitor
Overall	86.1% (372/432)	86.4% (197/228)	85.8% (175/204)	85.9% (354/412)	85.7% (180/210)	86.1% (174/202)
ELB/GRZ	78.6% (11/14)	90.9% (10/11)	33.3% (1/3)	76.9% (10/13)	81.8% (9/11)	50.0% (1/2)
ELB/GRZ+RBV	72.0% (18/25)	92.9% (13/14)	45.5% (5/11)*	75.0% (18/24)	100% (13/13)	45.5% (5/11)*
ELB/GRZ+SOF	100% (10/10)	100% (1/1)	100% (9/9)	100% (10/10)	100% (1/1)	100% (9/9)
ELB/GRZ+SOF+RBV	92.0% (92/100)	100.0% (18/18)	90.2% (74/82)	91.8% (89/97)	100% (15/15)	90.2% (74/82)
GLE/PIB	88.9% (16/18)	88.9% (8/9)	88.9% (8/9)	88.2% (15/17)	87.5%% (7/8)	88.9% (8/9)
GLE/PIB+RBV	80.0% (4/5)	66.7% (2/3)	100.0% (2/2)	80.0% (4/5)	66.7% (2/3)	100.0% (2/2)
LDV/SOF	76.9% (20/26)	76.9% (20/26)		76.0% (19/25)	76.0% (19/25)	
LDV/SOF+RBV	90.3% (28/31)	93.8% (15/16)	86.7% (13/15)	90.3% (28/31)	93.8% (15/16)	86.7% (13/15)
SIM+SOF	92.9% (13/14)	92.3% (12/13)	100.0% (1/1)	92.9% (13/14)	92.9% (13/14)	
SIM+SOF+RBV	94.1% (32/34)	94.1% (32/34)		93.9% (31/33)	93.9% (31/33)	
VEL/SOF	84.6% (11/13)	90.9% (10/11)	50.0% (1/2)	84.6% (11/13)	90.9% (10/11)	50.0% (1/2)
VEL/SOF+RBV	74.4% (58/78)	69.0% (29/42)	80.6% (29/36)	74.0% (54/73)	67.6% (25/37)	80.6% (29/36)
PrOD+RBV	66.7% (2/3)	66.7% (2/3)		50.0% (1/2)	50.0% (1/2)	
PrOD+SOF+RBV	100.0% (2/2)	100.0% (1/1)	100.0% (1/1)	100.0% (2/2)	100.0% (1/1)	100.0% (1/1)
VEL/SOF/VOX	93.0%% (53/57)	92.3% (24/26)	93.5% (29/31)	92.2% (47/51)	90.0% (18/20)	93.5% (29/31)
VEL/SOF/VOX+RBV	100% (2/2)		100% (2/2)	100% (2/2)		100% (2/2)

<sup>\*</sup>P<0.05 between those with and without resistance predicted based on RASs

Table 4. SVR by the Presence or Absence of Specific RAS

Gene	RAS	% SVR without RAS	% SVR with RAS
NS3	Q80K	88.0% (117/133)	83.2% (84/101)
NS5A	M28A/G	86.6% (348/402)	60.0% (6/10)
	L31I/M/V	85.8% (284/331)	86.4% (70/81)
	Q30E/G/H/K/R	84.7% (210/248)	87.8% (144/164)
	H58D	86.7% (346/399)	61.5% (8/13)*
	Y93C/H/N/S	86.1% (248/288)	85.5% (106/124)

<sup>\*</sup>P<0.05 between those with and without specified RAS

#### Table 5. SVR by Number of NS5A RAS

Number of NS	5A RASs	% SVR
0	87.0	6% (92/105)
1	85.8	% (194/226)
2+	84.	.0% (68/81)

## CONCLUSIONS

- In this real-world cohort of LDV/SOF virologic failures, NS5A RASs did not substantially affect SVR for most retreatment regimens, although SVR with retreatment were low overall
- SVR rates were numerically lower in patients retreated with LDV/SOF and VEL/SOF±RBV, and significantly lower in patients retreated with ELB/GZR±RBV
- Individual mutations were associated with varying effect on SVR with the NS5A M28A/G and H58D with the largest effect
- Other host or viral factors may be contributing to the less than expected SVR rates
- Limitations: baseline samples were not available for comparison of preexisting mutations prior to LDV/SOF treatment with those found after treatment; population sequencing may not have detected mutations present at lower frequency