

Accepted Manuscript

Letter to the Editor

From the CUPIC study: Great times are not coming (?)

Daniel Schmidt, Aidan McCormick, Diarmaid Houlihan

PII: S0168-8278(13)00888-X

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2013.11.035>

Reference: JHEPAT 4982

To appear in: *Journal of Hepatology*

Received Date: 18 November 2013

Accepted Date: 22 November 2013

Please cite this article as: Schmidt, D., McCormick, A., Houlihan, D., From the CUPIC study: Great times are not coming (?), *Journal of Hepatology* (2013), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2013.11.035>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



From the CUPIC study: Great times are not coming (?)

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

We note, with interest, the findings of the interim results from the CUPIC study reported recently in the Journal of Hepatology[1]. The authors demonstrate a high incidence of serious adverse events (40.0%), and of death and severe complications (severe infection or hepatic decompensation) (6.4%), in a difficult to treat cohort of patients. Most notably however, the authors have proposed that compensated cirrhotic patients with chronic HCV with both thrombocytopenia (Platelets <100,000) hypoalbuminaemia (<35 g/dL) should not be treated with triple therapy.

There are a number of important considerations for accurate interpretation of the data. Although acknowledged by the authors, it is important to re-emphasise that CUPIC lacked a control group. The author's conclusions must, therefore, be balanced against the published natural history of HCV cirrhotic patients. The benefits of sustained virologic response (SVR) in this group are well documented [2]. Hence, international treatment guidelines generally recommend antiviral therapy in this patient group [3, 4]. Indeed, in controlled trials of dual therapy of patients with decompensated cirrhosis, the treatment group had more favourable outcomes compared to control group [5]. Additionally, treatment of HCV patients on the liver transplant waiting list does not appear to increase overall mortality compared with untreated patients [6]. Thus, the benefits of achieving SVR, in this difficult population, cannot be overstated [7].

CUPIC was not designed or powered for interim safety analysis. Indeed recent data investigating the therapeutic potential of triple therapy in patients with severe fibrosis or cirrhosis demonstrated lower complication rates than those observed in CUPIC[8, 9].

Possible explanations for this difference include multiple treatment centres (n=56) with variation in the treatment and monitoring protocols. In addition 'severe infection' is not clearly defined in the manuscript and this was the most commonly (24 of 32 cases) reported severe complication. Indeed, 7 of the 24 patients who survived a severe infection continued on treatment without requiring a dose reduction and a further unreported number continued treatment at a reduced dose. Deaths in the total cohort were relatively uncommon (n=6, <1%) however neither the albumin level nor platelet count is reported for these. Two of the six deaths reported occurred prior to the introduction of the protease inhibitor which, may indicate that these subjects were poor candidates for treatment.

The association between platelet count and albumin level and mortality during HCV treatment is not novel. Previous work has demonstrated a per annum mortality for HCV patients with thrombocytopenia alone (defined as platelet count <150,000 rather than the level of 100,000 used in the paper) of 4%, which would correspond to 4 deaths over the course of a year among the 103 thrombocytopenic patients [10]. Furthermore, hypoalbuminaemia has also been independently associated with increased mortality in cirrhotic patients prompting calls for the adoption of a modified MELD score incorporating albumin[11]. It seems incontrovertible that cirrhotic patients are at greater risk of complication from triple therapy, but suggesting that platelet count (<100,000) and albumin level (<35) should preclude consideration for treatment without describing the natural history of untreated HCV in a similar cohort appears unbalanced. For many of these patients, the promise shown in clinical trials of the next generation of directly

acting antivirals, the safety of which in these cohorts remains also untested may well come too late.

Yours etc,

Daniel Schmidt

Diarmaid Houlihan

Aidan McCormick

[1] Hézode C, Fontaine H, Dorival C, Larrey D, Zoulim F, Canva V, et al. Triple therapy in treatment-experienced patients with hcv-cirrhosis in a multicentre cohort of the french early access programme (anrs co20-cupic)-nct01514890. *Journal of hepatology* 2013.

[2] Pearlman BL, Traub N. Sustained virologic response to antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a cure and so much more. *Clinical infectious diseases* 2011;52:889-900.

[3] Ghany MG, Nelson DR, Strader DB, Thomas DL, Seeff LB. An update on treatment of genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C virus infection: 2011 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 2011;54:1433-1444.

[4] Craxì A. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of hepatitis C virus infection. *Journal of hepatology* 2011.

- [5] Iacobellis A, Siciliano M, Perri F, Annicchiarico BE, Leandro G, Caruso N, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2b and ribavirin in patients with hepatitis C virus and decompensated cirrhosis: a controlled study. *Journal of hepatology* 2007;46:206-212.
- [6] Everson GT, Terrault NA, Lok AS, Rodrigo DR, Brown RS, Saab S, et al. A randomized controlled trial of pretransplant antiviral therapy to prevent recurrence of hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2013.
- [7] Rowe I, Houlihan D, Mutimer D. Despite poor interferon response in advanced hepatitis C virus infection, models of protease inhibitor treatment predict maximum treatment benefit. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2012;36:670-679.
- [8] Moreno C WH, Fenandez I, et al. Efficacy and safety results of treatment of patients over 65 years old with genotype 1 hepatitis C with severe fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis: the International Telaprevir Early Access Program. *Hepatology* 2013;58:1130A-1131A
- [9] Abrao Ferreira PR CM, Urbanek P, et al. Treatment of hepatitis C genotype 1 patients with severe fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis: efficacy results to week 16 on 1587 patients from the International Telaprevir Early Access Program. *Hepatology* 2013;58:1108A-1109A.
- [10] Qamar AA, Grace ND, Groszmann RJ, Garcia-Tsao G, Bosch J, Burroughs AK, et al. Incidence, prevalence, and clinical significance of abnormal hematologic indices in compensated cirrhosis. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2009;7:689-695.
- [11] Myers RP, Shaheen AAM, Faris P, Aspinall AI, Burak KW. Revision of MELD to Include Serum Albumin Improves Prediction of Mortality on the Liver Transplant Waiting List. *PloS one* 2013;8:e51926.

Liver Unit, St Vincent's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT